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#### Abstract

This paper examines the impact of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports of textiles and clothing to the US and EU on the Cambodian Economy, by using a computable general equilibrium model. A seminal aspect of this paper is that this paper has succeeded in constructing a Cambodia specific computable general equilibrium model by using one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia with 35 different production sectors. One of our most striking simulation results is that the welfare loss would be about 905 million US dollars when either the amount of exports of apparel products from Cambodia or the amount of imports of textiles to Cambodia decreases by 30 percent caused by the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports. Another striking result is that the government should reduce the production tax rate for the apparel sector by 68.1 percent in order to keep the welfare of Cambodia unchanged when exports of apparel products decreases by 30 percent. Our simulation results predict that the Cambodian economy has substantially been damaged by the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to EU and the US.
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[^0]
## 1 Introduction

This paper examines the impact of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports of textile and clothing to the US and EU on the Cambodian garment industry, by using a computable general equilibrium model with one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia of year 2004.

The Cambodian economy has heavily relied on its garment industry. The share of the garment industry in the total amount of exports accounts for about $75 \%$, and it has been contributing to the Cambodian economy substantially over the last decade, while poor infrastructure and weak governance have been recognized as obstacles in Cambodia. It has also been providing stable employment opportunities particularly to low skilled females in rural provinces, and the Cambodian economy has expanded by the strong exporting power of its garment industry. The garment industry has been performing quite well even after the Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA) was expired in early $2005^{1}$.

However, several advantageous conditions for the Cambodian garment industry have been vanishing: Vietnam has become a member of WTO since early 2007, and restrictions imposed by EU and the US on Chinese exports of textiles and clothing have been removed since the end of 2007 and 2008, respectively. Both Vietnam and China have been exporting similar garment products to the US and EU, and the economic behavior of both countries in the textile and clothing markets of the US and EU substantially affects the Cambodian economy. For instance, $80 \%$ of the total value of exports of clothing from Cambodia to the US is estimated to have been generated by the same products as those Vietnam has exported to the US, and $70 \%$ of the total value is also estimated to have been generated by the same products as those from China, of which amount has so far been limited by the restriction imposed by the US and EU. As ADB pointed out in its Economic Outlook of year 2006, the participation of Vietnam to WTO has resulted in a substantial increase in Vietnam's exports of textiles and clothing to the US and EU. The abolition of restrictions on Chinese

[^1]exports to the US and EU at the end of year 2008 also seems to have given damages on the Cambodian garment industry. Thus, the Cambodian government is expected to have correct economic policies under the threat of possible destruction of its garment industry, and it is quite important to investigate the negative effects of the current changes surrounding Cambodia on its economy in order to lead Cambodia to the right direction.

This paper numerically studies these effects on the Cambodian economy by employing a computable general equilibrium model in which the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU are both incorporated. The advantages of the model are that it can numerically present the effects, and also that it takes into account all possible channels of the effects on the economy. A seminal aspect of this paper is that this paper has succeeded in constructing a Cambodia specific computable general equilibrium model by using one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia of year 2004. Any input-output tables of Cambodia have not been made ever, and this is the first research to produce the social accounting matrix of Cambodia, with which realistic simulations can only be conducted. One of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia consists of 35 different production sectors, and potential effects not only on the garment industry but also on other different industries can also be investigated.

The results from several simulation scenarios are given as follows: First of all, the negative effect of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU on the welfare of Cambodia is substantially large. When the amount of exports of apparel products decreases by $30 \%$, then the negative effect on welfare is estimated to be about 905 million US dollars. If the participation of Vietnam to WTO or the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports results in a $30 \%$ decrease in imports of textiles to Cambodia, then the negative effect on welfare is estimated to be about 906 million US dollars. Secondly, if the participation of Vietnam to WTO or the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports results in a decrease in exports of apparel products from Cambodia or in imports of textiles to Cambodia by more than $15 \%$, then a substantial
decrease either in the production tax rate for the apparel sector or in the import tariff rate for the textiles sector is needed to keep the welfare level unchanged. Finally, if the government uses the production tax on other sectors to maintain welfare at the same level as before, then the production tax rate for the 'other agriculture' sector, which has been considered in Cambodia as the exporting sector, should be reduced by $74.9 \%$ in order to offset the negative effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products on welfare, while the production tax rate for the 'apparel' sector should be reduced by $68.1 \%$. In terms of the other potential exporting sector, or the 'paddy' sector, the government has to subsidy the sector rather than imposing the production tax, in order to keep the Cambodian welfare unchanged. The subsidy rate is estimated to be $3.27 \%$, while the production tax with $0.08 \%$ average tax rate has currently been imposed. Furthermore, if the Cambodian government relies on finanacial resources oustside Cambodia from internaitonal institutions such as IMF, WB, and ADB, or donor countries in order to conduct a policy, then reducing the production tax rate for the apparel sector is the best policy to offset the negative effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products, since the amount of the shortage of tax revenue caused by the reduction in the production tax rate is the smallest.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section briefly describes the current garment industry, and section 3 surveys the related literature. Section 4 describes the first ever input-output table of Cambodia, and the social accounting matrix made in detail. Section 5 proposes our simulation analysis with implications from several simulation scenarios, and Section 6 concludes our paper.

## 2 The Background

There is no doubt that the garment industry has been contributing to the Cambodian economy as one of the top four sectors ${ }^{2}$, and its contribution could be found in the achievement

[^2]of annual two-digit economic growth rates ${ }^{3}$. The Economic Institute of Cambodia estimates that the share of the garment industry in the total amount of exports in year 2006 accounts for about $75 \%$, and also that the average annual growth rate of the industry between 1995 and 2005 is about $38 \%^{4}$. IMF also estimates that the total share of the garment industry in GDP is $13.5 \%$ in year 2004 , and $12.5 \%$ in year $2005^{5}$.

The large number of employment opportunities has also been created by the garment industry. The garment industry generates $70 \%$ of the total amount of employment opportunities in the formal manufacturing sector, and the total number of the employed in the garment industry increased from 293,600 in year 2006 to 350,000 in year 2007. It is also estimated that apart from its own job opportunities other 242,000 job opportunities have indirectly been generated more by the garment industry. The share of female employees, who were mainly supplied from rural provinces, in the total number in the industry is about $90 \%$, and the garment industry has been playing an important role in several aspects.

In terms of its exports, the main trading partners are the US and EU, and the amount of its exports has been increasing even after the Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA) was expired at the beginning of year 2005, while the amount was expected to decrease at the beginning of the expiry of the MFA ${ }^{6}$. Although the economic value of its exports was only 26.2 million US dollars in year 1995, it has reached about 2,75 billion US dollars in year 2007. As shown in Figure 1, the value of its exports has continued to increase. According to ILO $(2008)^{7}$, over $70 \%$ of its exports was shipped to the US, and $22 \%$ went to Europe. Thus, over $90 \%$ of its exports was shipped to the US or EU, and this pattern has continued for several years. Note that Cambodia exports clothing, or apparel products, to the US and EU, and also that it

[^3]imports textiles mainly from China. Textiles refer to raw materials such as fabric and yarn, and the Cambodian garment industry is a typical production sector to add values on its exports by importing raw materials used for its production. Table 1 and 2 show the amount of exports to the US and EU by different countries, respectively. As shown in both tables, the share of China is the highest both in the US and EU, and the share keeps increasing even when restrictions on Chinese exports started in year 2006. It can also be found in both tables that Vietnam steadily increases its share over time, although its value is still not so high. In particular its share in the US substantially increased in year 2007 when Vietnam became a member of WTO. Thus, there is a possibility that Vietnam could drastically increase its exports in the near future, since it has become a member of WTO since early 2007, and it is obvious that both China and Vietnam can be potential competitors in the near future under the free trade regime for Cambodia in the US and EU markets. In particular, the abolition of the restrictions on Chinese exports to EU and the US at the end of year 2007 and 2008 would result in possible destruction of the Cambodian garment industry.

## 3 Related Literature

In terms of the effects of the expiry of the Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA), a number of studies have predicted that previously advantaged countries would lose their benefits. ADB (2004) estimated that it would result in an annual decrease in the Cambodian GDP at 1.5 \% and also in a substantial decrease in employment opportunities. Nordas (2004) pointed out that previously advantaged producers in the US, EU, and Canada would also lose their benefits from the expiry of MFA, and also that China and India would gain from the expiry. Bargawi (2005) concluded that the expiry would induce a rapid decrease in the prices of textiles and clothing products in the global market, and also that Cambodia would suffer from the welfare loss due to the expiry.

On the other hand, Oxfam International (2004) emphasized on its positive effects of the
expiry, while it estimated that the MFA had caused an annual decrease in 27 million job opportunities and in 40 billion exports among developing countries. It argued that some developing countries would benefit from the expiry through the global reconstruction of the textile and clothing industry over the world. Wattanapruttipaisan (2005) and Whalley (2006) also pointed out its positive effects particularly on the Chinese and Indian economies.

Regarding the possible effects of the participation of Vietnam into WTO and of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports of textiles and clothing products on the world economy as well as on the Cambodian economy, there have been several studies and reports. Chandra (2005) pointed out that the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports would damage the Indian economy after the abolition. ADB (2007), World Bank (2007), IMF (2007), the Economic Institute of Cambodia (2007), and the Cambodian Institute of Development Study (2007) all pointed out possible negative effects on the Cambodian economy in their reports.

How this paper differs from past studies is that a rigorous theoretical framework is used to explore the effects of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports on the Cambodian economy. The model of this paper employs a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model. To our best knowledge, there has been no study which numerically discussed several issues related to Cambodia by using a CGE model. This is simply because any input-output table of Cambodia has not been obtained ever, and a social accounting matrix, which is dispensable for a static CGE model, cannot be made without an input-output table. A seminal aspect of this paper is that this paper has succeeded in constructing a Cambodia specific computable general equilibrium model by using one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia, and this is the first research to produce the social accounting matrix of Cambodia, with which realistic simulations can only be conducted. This paper can examine the argument of the above reports by using a rigorous theoretical framework, and also numerically studies these effects on the Cambodian economy by presenting several scenarios. The advantages of the model are that it can numerically
present the effects, and also that it takes into account all possible channels of the effects on the economy. Thus, potential effects not only on the garment industry but also on other different industries can also be investigated.

In terms of the input-output table of Cambodia, until very recently any input-output tables of Cambodia were not available, and the first two tables have recently been made independently. One of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia has been made by Oum (2007), and the other table has been made by Kobayashi et al (2006). The input-output table by Oum (2007) consists of 35 different production sectors, and the input-output table by Kobayashi et al (2006) consists of 43 different production sectors. The table by Oum (2007) overcomes the drawbacks of Kobayashi (2006), and the table by Oum (2007) is used in this paper. Note that the main research interest of these two papers is found in the construction of an input-output table of Cambodia, and the seminal aspect of this paper is that a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model has been made based on one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia. Thus, this is the first paper which has not only constructed a CGE model, but also investigated the Cambodian garment industry within a computable general equilibrium framework.

Before moving on to the detailed explanation about our simulation analysis, the inputoutput table as well as the social accounting matrix used in this paper are described briefly in the next section.

## 4 Data

One of the most seminal aspects of this paper is that this paper uses one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia of year 2004 made by Oum (2007). One of the first ever input-output table of Cambodia used in this paper consists of 35 different production sectors. The 35 different production sectors produce each commodity so that there are 35 different commodities. There are also 4 different factors; capital, unskilled labor, skilled labor, and
land. The input-output table by Oum (2007) mainly uses the data from the Economic Institute of Cambodia, and it also uses the data from National Institute of Statistic (NIS) and National Bank of Cambodia (NBC).

For simplicity, in order to concentrate on the garment industry, this paper re-categorizes the 35 different production sectors into 14 different production sectors in our social accounting matrix. The 14 different production sectors consist of (1.) paddy(No. 1), (2.) other agriculture (No. 2~8), (3.) textile (No. 9), (4.) apparel (No. 10), (5.) manufacturing (No. 11~17), (6.) equipment (No. 18~21), (7.) other manufacture (No. 22), (8.) utility (No. $23^{\sim} 24$ ), (9.) construction (No. 25), (10.) hotel (No. 26), (11.) service (No. 27~30), (12.) finance (No. 31), (13.) real estate business (No. 32), and (14.) other services (No..33~35). The numbers in the parentheses of the above groups correspond to those defined in the input-output table by Oum (2007). The simplified input-output table used in this paper is given by Table 3, and the social accounting matrix used in the CGE model is also given by Table 4.

## 5 Simulation Analysis

In order to obtain the numerical effects of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU on the Cambodian economy, a computable general equilibrium model is used in this paper. The computable general equilibrium model of this paper employs the conventional model ${ }^{8}$, in which there are households (consumers), private firms of 14 different sectors, and the government. The detailed explanation about the model is given in Appendix. Households are assumed to maximize their utility, which is defined over 14 different final consumption goods (commodities). The government is assumed to collect several taxes in order to satisfy its budget constraint. The behavior of private firms is described by the conventional tree structure, where private firms are assumed to maximize their profits as if they consider their profits at several steps

[^4]of their decision making process. The conventional tree structure is given by Figure 2. At step 1, a private firm, $i$, is assumed to use unskilled labor, skilled labor, capital, and land to produce its composite goods, $Y_{i}$. Then, the firm is assumed to produce its domestic goods, $Z_{i}$, by using its own $Y_{i}$ and $X_{i, j}$ at the second step. $X_{i, j}$ denotes the final consumption goods produced by firm $j$ used by firm $i$ for its production. Thus, $X_{i, j}$ is the amount of the final consumption goods produced by firm $j$ for the intermediate production process of firm $i$. At the third step, the firm is assumed to decompose its domestic goods, $Z_{i}$, into exported goods, $E_{i}$, and final domestic goods, $D_{i}$. This step is concerned about its optimal decision in terms of the amount of its product to be exported. At the final step (the fourth step), the firm is assumed to produce its final consumption goods, $Q_{i}$, by using its final domestic goods, $D_{i}$, and imported goods, $M_{i}$. This step corresponds to its optimal decision on how much it uses imported goods, $M_{i}$, and its own goods, $D_{i}$, to produce its final consumption goods, $Q_{i}$, which are consumed by domestic households. Note that all market clearing conditions are used to determine all prices endogenously in their corresponding markets, and also that that at each step the private firm is assumed to determine the amount of relevant variables in order to maximize its profits.

By the assumption of the above tree structure, all decision making processes can be simplified, and the optimal behavior about all different decisions can be incorporated. Note that all parameter values in the model are calculated by using the actual social accounting matrix discussed in the previous section, so that calculated values of endogenous variables obtained within the model also become quite realistic. Note also that the social accounting matrix used in this paper has been made from one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia. The benchmark model obtained by using the actual social accounting matrix is given in the next section.

### 5.1 Benchmark and Calibration

The benchmark case should reflect the actual economy of Cambodia in order to make the subsequent simulation scenarios realistic. Thus, the benchmark model should carefully be calibrated until the calculated values of all endogenous variables obtained within the model become close to the actual values. Table 5 shows the calculated values of relevant endogenous variables and their corresponding actual values in year 2004. As shown in Table 5, the benchmark case has successfully been able to reproduce the real economy within the model. Table 6 also shows the values of all parameters which resulted in the successful benchmark case. Note that all parameter values were calculated by using the actual social accounting matrix so that the benchmark case could realize a very realistic circumstance close to the actual economy of Cambodia. Since the benchmark case represents the actual Cambodian economy, it is now used to compare the current Cambodian economy with possible situations caused by the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU, by simulating several scenarios in the next section.

### 5.2 Several Scenarios

This section presents several scenarios in order to investigate the potential effects of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU. As mentioned before, the Cambodian garment industry imports textiles (raw materials) mainly from China, and it exports their products, or apparel products, to the US and EU. Thus, the potential effects of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU can be explored through the two channels; the effect on the exports of apparel products (clothing), and the effect on the imports of textiles (raw materials).

## Scenario 1: The Effect on the Exports from Cambodia

The effect on the Cambodian exports of apparel products will be explored by changing
the economic value of exports of apparel products. The participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU is obviously expected to result in a more competitive environment for the Cambodian garment industry. A more competitive environment would eventuate in a decrease in the economic value of exports of apparel products from Cambodia. The decrease in the economic value of exports of apparel products from Cambodia is a direct effect of an increase in supply of garment products by Vietnam and China. In this scenario, the effect of a gradual decrease in the economic value of exports of apparel products will be simulated. The economic values of exports of apparel products are simulated to decrease by $5,10,15,20,25$, and $30 \%$ from the actual value. As shown in Table 7, the actual level of exports of apparel products is 2138.1631 million US dollars.

## Scenario 2: The Effect on the Imports to Cambodia

The Cambodian garment industry imports textiles (raw materials) particularly from China, and around $50 \%$ of the total sales of apparel products (clothing) is generated by imported textiles from China. This implies that Cambodia will also be affected by the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU through the changes in the amount of textiles imported from China. An expansion of Chinese exports to the US and EU would induce an increase in demand for textiles within China, so that it would reduce the amount of textiles which could otherwise be exported from China to Cambodia. In this scenario, the effect of a gradual decrease in the economic value of imports of textiles (raw materials) imported to Cambodia on the Cambodian economy. The effects of the changes in the economic values of imports of textiles are simulated to decrease by $5,10,15,20,25$, and $30 \%$ from the actual level. Table 9 shows that the actual amount of imports of textiles is 1229.811852 million US dollars.

## Scenario 3: The Effect of the Government Policy

In this scenario, the effects of two government policy instruments will be simulated;
the production tax on production of apparel products, and the import tariff on textiles. The Cambodian government can decrease the production tax rate for production of apparel products as well as the import tariff rate for imports of textiles, in order to protect the garment industry. The effect of the changes in both rates will be explored based on utility. The welfare comparison is given by the equivalent variation in this paper. The effect of the reduction of the production tax rate will be simulated when the amount of exports of apparel products decreases, while the effect of the reduction of the tariff rate will be explored when the amount of imports of textiles decreases.

The effect of the reduction of both rates by $5,10,15,20,25$, and $30 \%$ from the actual rate will be studied. The actual rates are calculated from the actual input-output table by dividing the total amount of the tax by the corresponding economic value, so that the calculated rate is the actual average rate. The actual average rate of the production tax on apparel products is $1.02 \%$, and the actual average tariff rate for textiles is $1.17 \%$ as shown in Table 5.

## Scenario 4: The Effect of Other Government Policies

The participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU is expected to reduce the welfare of Cambodia. On the other hand, the reduction of the production tax rate for apparel products and the import tariff rate for textiles will partly offset the negative effect of a decrease in exports of apparel products as well as in imports of textiles on the Cambodian garment industry. Note that the Cambodian economy has heavily relied on its garment industry, and also that the share of the garment industry in the total amount of exports accounts for about $75 \%$. This implies that the Cambodian economy has expanded by the export-driven power, and the key issue to have stable economic growth for Cambodia is to stimulate other sectors which export their products, when a large damage on the garment industry is not avoidable. This scenario tries to find the production tax rate for other exporting sectors in order to maintain welfare at the same level as before. This scenario also investigates how much the government needs
to finance the budget to maintain welfare. Since the reduction of the production tax rate should be followed by a decrease in the total amount of tax revenue, the government has to face the shortage of tax revenue to maintain welfare provided that the government does not change all other government policies. In order to highlight the pure effect of the reduction in the production tax rate, the government is assumed to keep other policies unchanged except for the production tax rate. The amount of the shortage of tax revenue is interpreted as the amount of government deficits. This scenario assumes that government deficits are financed by resources provided by international institutions outside Cambodia, so that there is no effect on the Cambodian economy in the short-run. This scenario is interpreted as the case where the Cambodian government borrows money to conduct a policy from international institutions such as IMF, WB, and ADB, or from other donor countries as ODA.

This scenario simulates changes in the production tax rate when the amount of exports of apparel products decreases. The production taxes on the four different sectors are explored; the production tax on the 'apparel', 'paddy', 'other agriculture', and 'services' sectors. The production tax rate for each sector is adjusted to maintain the same welfare level as before a decrease in the amount of exports of apparel products occurs. This scenario simulates the endogenous production tax rate which results in the unchanged welfare level even after the garment industry is damaged. It is assumed that the government can give subsidies to the sector if the zero production tax rate for the sector is not low enough to keep the welfare of Cambodia unchanged. The negative production tax rate is interpreted as the subsidy rate.

Note that the ' paddy' and the 'other agriculture' sectors are both considered in Cambodia as the potential sectors which could expand the amount of exports when the garment industry is heavily damaged. Note also that the amount of exports of the 'services' sector is the second largest next to the apparel sector. This scenario explores how much the government has to change the production tax rate for the potential exporting sector at least to maintain the welfare level when the garment industry is damaged by the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU.

### 5.3 Results and Implications

The simulation results are presented from Table 7 to 12 . As it is shown in Table 5, the values of the benchmark model are very close to the actual values of year 2004, and the benchmark situation in all the tables corresponds to the situation before Vietnam participated to WTO or the restrictions on Chinese exports to EU and the US were abolished. Thus, the comparison of the simulated results with the benchmark case explores the effect of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports on the Cambodian economy. The potential effect of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports on the whole economy of Cambodia through all possible channels are given as follows.

## Scenario 1: The Effect on the Exports from Cambodia

In this scenario, the effect of a decrease in the amount of exports of apparel products from Cambodia, which the participation of Vietnam to WTO and the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to EU and the US would have resulted in, has been simulated. Table 7 shows the effect on the economic value of economic variables including welfare measured by the equivalent variation, and Table 8-1 and 8-2 present the effect on the quantity as well as the price of the final consumption good, $Q$. Note that the economic value of the amount of exports of apparel products in the benchmark case is 2138.16 million US dollars, and the economic value of the amount of exports of apparel products when it is reduced by 5,10 , $15,20,25$, or $30 \%$ from the actual value of year 2004 is $2031.25,1924.34,1817.43,1710.53$, 1603.62, and 1496.71 million US dollars, respectively.

Table 7 shows the detailed effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products on the Cambodian economy measured in the economic value. The value of the equivalent variation measures the negative effect on the welfare of Cambodia in money, and the negative effect of a $30 \%$ decrease in exports of apparel products is estimated to be 904.89 million US dollars. As the estimated values of the equivalent variation listed in the last row of Table 7 show,
the potential negative effect of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to EU and the US on the whole economy of Cambodia is estimated to be very large.

In terms of the effect on the domestic final consumption good, $Q$, Table 8-1 and 8-2 show the results. Table $8-2$ shows the relative changes in the quantity and the price of $Q$. These two tables show that the price of the sectors such as '(1) paddy', '(2) other agriculture', and '(10) TrdHotel (=Tourism)' changes more sensitively, but the quantity of these sectors does not compared to other sectors. This implies that the market of these goods is relatively inelastic than other goods, and the negative effect of a decrease in exports of apparel products is adjusted mainly by the price change rather than the quantity.

## Scenario 2: The Effect on the Imports to Cambodia

Scenario 2 has simulated another effect of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to EU and the US; a decrease in imports of textiles to Cambodia, mainly from China. The simulation results are given from Table 9 to 10-2. Table 10-1 and 10-2 present the effect of a decrease in imports of textiles on the quantity as well as the price of the final consumption good, $Q$. Note that the economic value of the amount of imports of textiles in the benchmark case is 1229.81 million US dollars, and the economic value of the amount of imports of textiles when it is reduced by $5,10,15,20,25$, or $30 \%$ from the actual value of year 2004 is 1168.32 , 1106.83, 1045.34, 983.85, 922.36, and 860.86 million US dollars, respectively.

Table 9 shows the detailed effect of the decrease in imports of textiles measured in the economic value. As Table 9 shows, a decrease in imports of textiles to Cambodia also gives a damage to the Cambodian economy substantially. The negative effect of a $30 \%$ decrease in imports of textiles is estimated to be 905.66 million US dollars. Furthermore, the magnitude of the negative effect on welfare would be larger than that of the negative effect of a decrease in exports of apparel products. The comparison of the equivalent variation of scenario 2 with that of scenario 1 shows not only that the estimated negative effect of a decrease in imports of textiles is larger than that of a decrease in exports of apparel products in all
cases from 5 to $30 \%$ decreases, but also that the difference becomes larger as decreases in the economic value of exports of apparel products and imports of textiles becomes larger. When the economic value of exports of apparel products and of imports of textiles decreases by $5,10,15,20,25$, and $30 \%$ from the benchmark case, then the negative effect of a decrease in imports of textiles is estimated to be $0.65,0.67,0.69,0.71,0.74$, and 0.76 million more dollars than that of a decrease in exports of apparel products, respectively.

In terms of the effect on the domestic final consumption good, $Q$, Table 10-1 and 10-2 show the results. Table 10-2 shows the relative changes in the quantity and the price of $Q$. Table 10-1 and 10-2 both show similar results to Table 8-1 and 8-2.

## Scenario 3: The Effect of the Government Policy

In this scenario, the effect of changes in two government policy instruments has been simulated. Table 11-1 shows the effect of the reduction of the production tax rate for the apparel sector when exports of apparel products decreases, while Table 11-2 shows the effect of the reduction of the tariff rate for the textiles sector when imports of textiles decreases. The reduction of both rates is expected to protect the garment industry. The effect of the reduction is measured by the equivalent variation in Table 11-1 and 11-2. As it is expected, the reduction of both rates induces an increase in welfare measured in money. However, except for the cases in which a decreasing rate of either exports of apparel products or imports of textiles is relatively small, the equivalent variation in both tables shows a negative value, implying that even a $30 \%$ decrease in either the production tax rate or the import tariff rate cannot recover the benchmark situation. Thus, a $30 \%$ decrease in either the production tax rate or the import tariff rate is not large enough to maintain the same welfare level as before. For instance, when the amount of exports of apparel products decreases by $30 \%$, the welfare loss is estimated to still be 51.50 million US dollars even though the production tax rate for the apparel sector is reduced by $30 \%$ from the tax rate of $1.02 \%$ to $0.71 \%$ as shown in Table 11-1. In the case of a $30 \%$ decrease in the amount of imports of textiles, Table 11-2 shows that the estimated welfare loss is still 109.72 million US dollars even when the tariff rate for
the textiles sector is reduced by $30 \%$ from the tariff rate of $1.17 \%$ to $0.81 \%$.
When the decreasing rate of either exports of apparel products or imports of textiles is relatively small, the government can improve welfare by reducing either the production tax rate for apparel products or the import tariff rate for textiles. Table 11-1 shows that a higher welfare level can be achieved by reducing the production tax rate by $15 \%(25 \%)$ when the amount of exports of apparel products decreases by $5 \%$ (10\%). Table 11-2 also shows that a higher welfare level can be achieved by reducing the import tariff rate by $25 \%$ when the amount of imports of textiles decreases by $5 \%$.

Then the next question would be how much the government should reduce either the production tax rate or the import tariff rate in order to keep the welfare level unchanged even after either the amount of exports of apparel products or the amount of imports of textiles decreases. The next scenario has simulated several cases to answer the question.

## Scenario 4: The Effect of Other Government Policies

The Cambodian economy has expanded by the export-driven power, and it is important for the Cambodian government to stimulate the exporting sectors in order to achieve stable economic growth if a large damage on the garment industry is not avoidable. Scenario 4 has examined the production tax rate for several exporting sectors in order to maintain welfare at the same level as before. In this scenario, three exporting sectors have been investigated apart from the apparel sector; 'paddy', other agriculture', and 'services' sectors. Both of the 'paddy', and 'other agriculture' sectors have been considered in Cambodia as the potential sectors which could expand exports in order to achieve higher economic growth. As Table 4 shows, the amount of exports of the 'services' sector is the second largest.

The simulation results are presented in Table 12. Table 12 also shows the amount of the shortage of tax revenue when the government reduces the production tax rate for the corresponding sector in order to keep the welfare level unchanged even after exports of apparel products decreases. For instance, if exports of apparel products decreases by $30 \%$, then the production tax rate for the 'other agriculture' sector should be reduced by $74.9 \%$ in
order to offset the negative effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products on welfare, while the production tax rate for the 'apparel' sector should be reduced by $68.1 \%$. Note that when exports of apparel products decreases by $30 \%$ the reduction in the production tax rate for the 'services' sector to the zero value is not large enough, and the government has to subsidize the 'services' sector by giving a negative production tax rate. The subsidy rate is $1.03 \%$. In terms of the 'paddy' sector, the government has to subsidy the sector even when a $5 \%$ decrease in exports of apparel products occurs. When the amount of exports of apparel products decreases by $30 \%$ from the level of year 2004, then the subsidy rate should be $3.27 \%$, while the production tax rate for the 'paddy' sector is $0.08 \%$, thus implying that the government has to reduce the production tax rate by $4250 \%$ from the level of year 2004, in order to offset the negative effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products.

Another result is that reducing the production tax rate for the apparel sector is the best policy to offset the negative effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products on welfare, since the amount of the shortage of tax revenue is the smallest when the production tax rate for the apparel sector is reduced. Note that the shortage must be financed by other government policies. If it is difficult for the government to increase the tax rate of other taxes, then issuing government bonds would be an alternative method which the government tends to use. If the government can keep the welfare level unchanged with the smallest amount of the shortage of tax revenue by reducing the production tax rate for the apparel sector, then such a policy should be implemented.

## 6 Concluding Remarks

This paper has examined the impact of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as of the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports of textile and clothing to the US and EU on the Cambodian garment industry, by using a computable general equilibrium model with one of the first ever input-output tables of Cambodia of year 2004.

The results from several simulation scenarios are given as follows: First of all, the negative effect of the participation of Vietnam to WTO as well as the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU on the welfare of Cambodia is substantially large. When the amount of exports of apparel products decreases by $30 \%$, then the negative effect on welfare is estimated to be about 905 million US dollars. If the participation of Vietnam to WTO or the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports results in a $30 \%$ decrease in imports of textiles to Cambodia, then the negative effect on welfare is estimated to be about 906 million US dollars. Secondly, if the participation of Vietnam to WTO or the abolition of restrictions on Chinese exports results in a decrease in exports of apparel products from Cambodia or in imports of textiles to Cambodia by more than $15 \%$, then a substantial decrease either in the production tax rate for the apparel sector or in the import tariff rate for the textiles sector is needed to keep the welfare level unchanged. Finally, if the government uses the production tax on other sectors to maintain welfare at the same level as before, then the production tax rate for the 'other agriculture' sector should be reduced by $74.9 \%$ in order to offset the negative effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products on welfare, while the production tax rate for the 'apparel' sector should be reduced by $68.1 \%$. In terms of the other potential exporting sector, or the 'paddy' sector, the government has to subsidy the sector rather than imposing the production tax, in order to keep the Cambodian welfare unchanged. The subsidy rate is estimated to be $3.27 \%$, while the production tax with $0.08 \%$ average tax rate has currently been imposed. Furthermore, if the Cambodian government relies on finanacial resources oustside Cambodia from internaitonal institutions such as IMF, WB, and ADB, or donor countries in order to conduct a policy, then reducing the production tax rate for the apparel sector is the best policy to offset the negative effect of the decrease in exports of apparel products, since the amount of the shortage of tax revenue caused by the reduction in the production tax rate is the smallest.

## Appendix: Model ${ }^{0}$

The Cambodian economy is assumed to consist of 14 different production sectors, households, and the government. All the production sectors are assumed to maximize their profit, and households are assumed to maximize their utility. The government is assumed to determine its tax revenue and its consumption in order to satisfy its budget constraint. The economy is assumed to be fully competitive, so that all prices are determined in the relevant market in order to equate the amount of demand to the amount of supply at its fully competitive level in equilibrium.

Households are assumed to be homogenous, and their utility is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{14}\right)={ }_{i=1}^{14} X_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i}$ denotes consumption of good $i . \sum_{i=1}^{14} \alpha_{i}=1$ is assumed. The parameter value of each $\alpha_{i}$ is determined by using the actual social accounting matrix, which is given in Table 4.

Households are assumed to maximize (1) with respect to their consumption goods subject to their budget constraint such that:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{14} p_{i} X_{i}=I\left(1-\tau^{I}\right)-S^{I}
$$

where $p_{i}$ and $I$ denote the price of good $i$ and income, respectively. $\tau^{I}$ is the proportional income tax rate, and it is calculated by using the actual social accounting matrix. $S^{I}$ denotes the amount of savings, and households are assumed to save the constant amount relative to their disposal income ${ }^{10}$. The amount of savings is assumed to be given by

$$
S^{I}=s^{I}\left(1-\tau^{I}\right) I,
$$

[^5]where the constant ratio, $s^{I}$, is calculated by using the actual social accounting matrix, and it is given exogenously. Then income is given by
$$
I=r \bar{K}+w_{u s} \overline{L^{u s}}+w_{s} \overline{L^{s}}+h N,
$$
where $\bar{K}, \overline{L^{u s}}, \overline{L^{s}}$, and $\bar{N}$ denote the initial endowments of capital, unskilled labour, skilled labor, and land, respectively. $r, w_{u s}, w_{s}$, and $h$ are the prices of capital, unskilled labour, skilled labor, and land, respectively. Note that the amounts of $r \bar{K}, w_{u s} \overline{L^{u s}}, w_{s} \overline{L^{s}}$, and $h \bar{N}$ are all obtained from the actual social accounting matrix.

The first order conditions yield the demand functions such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=X_{i}\left(p_{i}, Y ; \alpha_{i}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{i} I\left(1-\tau^{I}\right)\left(1-s^{I}\right)}{p_{i}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{i}$ can be calculated by using (2) and the actual social accounting matrix so that:

$$
\alpha_{i}=\frac{p_{i} X_{i}}{I\left(1-\tau^{I}\right)\left(1-s^{I}\right)}=\frac{p_{i} X_{i}}{\left(1-s^{I}\right)\left(1-\tau^{I}\right)\left(r \bar{K}+w_{u s} \overline{L^{u s}}+w_{s} \overline{L^{s}}+h \bar{N}\right)}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14,
$$

where $p_{i} X_{i}$ can also be obtained from the actual social accounting matrix.
Although each firm is assumed to make a decision over several different items, its multiple decision is described by the tree structure. In the tree structure, the optimal behavior of each firm which makes a decision over different items is described as if the firm always makes a decision between two different choices at different steps. This assumption simplifies a complicated decision over several items by each firm. Each step is also shown in Figure 2.

## Step 1: The production of composite goods

Each firm is assumed to produce its composite goods by using capital, unskilled labor, skilled labor, and land. Each firm is assumed to maximize its profit given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i}=p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i}\left(K_{i}, L_{i}^{u s}, L_{i}^{s}, N_{i}\right)-r K_{i}-w_{u s} L_{i}^{u s}-w_{s} L_{i}^{s}-h N_{i}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{Y}$ denote the composite goods produced by firm $i$ and its price, respectively. $K_{i}, L_{i}^{u s}, L_{i}^{s}$, and $N_{i}$ denote capital, unskilled labor, skilled labor, and land used by firm $i$ in order to produce its composite goods, respectively. The production technology is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}\left(K_{i}, L_{i}^{u s}, L_{i}^{s}, N_{i}\right)=K_{i}^{\beta_{K, i}}\left(L_{i}^{u s}\right)^{\beta_{L u s, i}}\left(L_{i}^{s}\right)^{\beta_{L s, i}} N^{\beta_{N, i}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{K, i}+\beta_{L^{u s,}, i}+\beta_{L^{s}, i}+\beta_{N, i}=1$ is assumed for all $i=1,2, \cdots, 14$. Each firm is assumed to maximize (3) with respect to skilled labor, unskilled labor, land, and capital subject to (4), and the first order conditions yield the demand functions such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i} & =K_{i}\left(p_{i}^{Y}, r, w_{u s}, w_{s}, h ; \beta_{K, i}, \beta_{L^{u s}, i}, \beta_{L^{s}, i}, \beta_{N, i}\right)=\frac{\beta_{K, i}}{r} p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i},  \tag{5a}\\
L_{i}^{u s} & =L_{i}^{u s}\left(p_{i}^{Y}, r, w_{u s,} w_{s}, h ; \beta_{K, i}, \beta_{L^{u s}, i}, \beta_{L^{s}, i}, \beta_{N, i}\right)=\frac{\beta_{L^{u s}, i}}{w_{u s}} p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i},  \tag{5b}\\
L_{i}^{s} & =L_{i}^{s}\left(p_{i}^{Y}, r, w_{u s,} w_{s}, h ; \beta_{K, i}, \beta_{L^{u s, i},}, \beta_{L^{s}, i}, \beta_{N, i}\right)=\frac{\beta_{L^{s}, i}}{w_{s}} p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i},  \tag{5c}\\
N_{i} & =N_{i}\left(p_{i}^{Y}, r, w_{u s,} w_{s}, h ; \beta_{K, i}, \beta_{L^{u s, i},}, \beta_{L^{s}, i}, \beta_{N, i}\right)=\frac{\beta_{N, i}}{h} p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i},  \tag{5d}\\
i & =1,2, \cdots, 14 .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\beta_{K, i}, \beta_{L^{u s}, i}, \beta_{L^{s}, i}$, and $\beta_{N, i}$ can be calculated by using (5a), (5b), (5c), (5d), and the actual social accounting matrix so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{K, i} & =\frac{r K_{i}}{p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i}} \\
\beta_{L^{u s}, i} & =\frac{w_{u s} L_{i}^{u s}}{p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i}} \\
\beta_{L^{s}, i} & =\frac{w_{s} L_{i}^{s}}{p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i}} \\
\beta_{N, i} & =\frac{h N_{i}}{p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i}} \\
i & =1,2, \cdots, 14,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r K_{i}, w_{u s} L_{i}^{u s}, w_{s} L_{i}^{s}, h N_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i}$ can be obtained from the actual social accounting matrix.

## Step 2: The production of domestic goods

Each firm is assumed to produce domestic goods, $Z_{i}$, by using intermediate goods and its own composite goods, which production has been described at step 1. The optimal behavior of each firm in terms of the production of domestic goods can be described such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{Y_{i}, X_{i, j}}{\operatorname{Max}} \pi_{i} & =p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}-\left(p_{i}^{Y} Y_{i}-\sum_{j}^{14} p_{j}^{X} X_{i, j}\right) \\
\text { st } \quad Z_{i} & =\min \left(\frac{X_{i, j}}{a x_{i, j}}, \frac{Y_{i}}{a y_{i}}\right), i=1,2, \cdots, 14
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{i, j}$ and $p_{j}^{X}$ denote intermediate good $j$ used by firm $i$ and its price, respectively. $p_{i}^{Z}$ is the price of $Z_{i}$. $a x_{i, j}$ denotes the amount of intermediate good $j$ used for producing one unit of a domestic good of firm $i$, and $a y_{i}$ denotes the amount of its own composite good for producing one unit of its domestic good. Note that the production function at this stage is assumed to be the Leontief type. Using $a x_{i, j}$ and $a y_{i}$, and assuming that the market is fully competitive, the zero-profit condition can be written by:

$$
p_{i}^{Z}=p_{i}^{Y} a y_{i}+\sum_{j}^{14} p_{j}^{X} a x_{i, j}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, 14
$$

## Step 3: Decomposition of Domestic Goods into Exported Goods and Final

## Domestic Goods

The optimal decision making by firm $i$ in terms of the amount of exports of its goods is described as the the decomposition of $Z_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 14)$ into exported goods, $E_{i}$, and final domestic goods, $D_{i}$. Each firm is assumed to maximize its profit such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i}=p_{i}^{e} E_{i}+p_{i}^{d} D_{i}-\left(1+\tau_{i}^{p}\right) p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}^{e}$ and $p_{i}^{d}$ denote the price when the domestic goods are sold abroad, and the price when the domestic goods are sold domestically, respectively. Note that $p_{i}^{e}$ is measured in the domestic currency. $\tau_{i}^{p}$ is the tax rate of a production tax imposed on the production of $Z_{i}$, and it is calculated by using the actual social accounting matrix. The decomposition is assumed to follow the Cobb-Douglas technology such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}=E_{i}^{\kappa_{i}^{e}} D_{i}^{\kappa_{i i}^{d}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{i}^{d}+\kappa_{i}^{e}=1(i=1,2, \cdots, 14)$ is assumed. Each firm is assumed to maximize (6) with respect to $E_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ subject to (7), and the first order conditions yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{i}=E_{i}\left(p_{i}^{e}, p_{i}^{d}, p_{i}^{Z} ; \tau_{i}^{p}, \kappa_{i}^{d}, \kappa_{i}^{e}\right)=\frac{\kappa_{i}^{e}\left(1+\tau_{i}^{p}\right) p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}}{p_{i}^{e}},  \tag{8a}\\
& D_{i}=D_{i}\left(p_{i}^{e}, p_{i}^{d}, p_{i}^{Z} ; \tau_{i}^{p}, \kappa_{i}^{d}, \kappa_{i}^{e}\right)=\frac{\kappa_{i}^{d}\left(1+\tau_{i}^{p}\right) p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}}{p_{i}^{d}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14 . \tag{8b}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\kappa_{i}^{e}$ and $\kappa_{i}^{d}$ can be calculated by using (8a), (8b), and the actual social accounting matrix so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{i}^{e} & =\frac{p_{i}^{e} E_{i}}{\left(1+\tau_{i}^{p}\right) p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}}, \\
\kappa_{i}^{d} & =\frac{p_{i}^{d} D_{i}}{\left(1+\tau_{i}^{p}\right) p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{i}^{e} E_{i}, p_{i}^{d} D_{i}, p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}$ and $\tau_{i}^{p} p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}$ can be obtained from the actual social accounting matrix.

## Step 4: The Production of the final goods

Denote the final consumption goods by $Q_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 14)$. The final consumption goods are assumed to be produced by using the final domestic goods, $D_{i}$, and the imported goods, $M_{i}$. This step corresponds to the optimal decision making behavior of each firm in terms of the amount of imported goods which are used in its production process. The production technology at this final step is given by the following Cobb-Douglas function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}=M_{i}^{\gamma_{i}^{m}} D_{i}^{\gamma_{i}^{d}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}^{j}(j=m, d ; i=1,2, \cdots, 14)$ is the ratio between imported goods and final domestic goods, and it is assumed that $\gamma_{i}^{m}+\gamma_{i}^{d}=1(i=1,2, \cdots, 14)$. Each firm is assumed to maximize its profit with respect to $M_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ subject to (9). Its profit is given by:

$$
\pi_{i}=p_{i}^{Q} Q_{i}-\left(1+\tau_{i}^{m}\right) p_{i}^{m} M_{i}-p_{i}^{d} D_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14,
$$

where $p_{i}^{Q}$ and $\tau_{i}^{m}$ denote the price of its final consumption goods, $Q_{i}$, and the import tariff rate, respectively. The import tariff rate is calculated by using the actual social accounting matrix. Then, the first order conditions yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i}=M_{i}\left(p_{i}^{m}, p_{i}^{d}, p_{i}^{Q} ; \tau_{i}^{m}, \gamma_{i}^{m}, \gamma_{i}^{d}\right)  \tag{10a}\\
&=\frac{\gamma_{i}^{m} p_{i}^{Q} Q_{i}}{\left(1+\tau_{i}^{m}\right) p_{i}^{m}}  \tag{10b}\\
& D_{i}=D_{i}\left(p_{i}^{m}, p_{i}^{d}, p_{i}^{Q} ; \tau_{i}^{m}, \gamma_{i}^{m}, \gamma_{i}^{d}\right)=\frac{\gamma_{i}^{d} p_{i}^{Q} Q_{i}}{p_{i}^{d}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\gamma_{i}^{m}$ and $\gamma_{i}^{d}$ can be calculated by using (10a), (10b), and the actual social accounting matrix so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{i}^{m} & =\frac{\left(1+\tau_{i}^{m}\right) p_{i}^{m} M_{i}}{p_{i}^{Q} Q_{i}} \\
\gamma_{i}^{d} & =\frac{p_{i}^{d} D_{i}}{p_{i}^{Q} Q_{i}}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{i}^{m} M_{i}, p_{i}^{d} D_{i}, p_{i}^{Q} Q_{i}$ and $\tau_{i}^{m} p_{i}^{m} M_{i}$ can be obtained from the actual social accounting matrix.

The government is assumed to impose several taxes to satisfy its budget constraint. Its budget constraint is given by:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{14} p_{i}^{Q} X_{i}^{g}+S^{g}=T^{I}+T^{p}+T^{m}
$$

where the left hand side is the total government expenditure, and the right hand side is the total government revenue. $X_{i}^{g}$ and $S^{g}$ denote government consumption of final consumption good $i$, and the government savings, respectively. The total government revenue, or the total tax revenue is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{I} & =\tau^{I} I=\tau^{I}(r \bar{K}+w \bar{L}) \\
T^{p} & =\sum_{i=1}^{14} \tau_{i}^{p}\left(p_{i}^{Z} Z_{i}\right) \\
T^{m} & =\sum_{i=1}^{14} \tau_{i}^{m}\left(p_{i}^{m} M_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T^{I}, T^{p}$, and $T^{m}$ denote the total income tax revenue, the total production tax revenue, and the total import tariff revenue, respectively. The government is assumed to save the constant amount relative to the total amount of tax revenue, and the government savings are assumed to be given by

$$
S^{g}=s^{g}\left(T^{I}+T^{p}+T^{m}\right),
$$

where the constant ratio, $s^{g}$, is given exogenously.
The equilibrium condition of each factor market is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{K} & =\sum_{i=1}^{14} K_{i}, \\
\overline{L^{u s}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{14} L_{i}^{u s}, \\
\overline{L^{s}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{14} L_{i}^{s}, \\
\bar{N} & =\sum_{i=1}^{14} N_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of the market clearing condition of good $i(i=1,2, \cdots, 14)$, a private investment sector is introduced in order to close the economy in this paper ${ }^{11}$. Denoting the amount of

[^6]good $i$ consumed by the private investment sector by $X_{i}^{s}$, the market clearing condition of good $i$ is given by:
$$
Q_{i}=X_{i}+X_{i}^{g}+X_{i}^{s}+\sum_{j}^{14} X_{i, j}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14
$$
where the left hand side is the total supply, and the right hand side is the total demand for good $i$. Note that the budget constraint of the private investment sector is given by:
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{14} p_{i}^{Q} X_{i}^{s}=S^{g}+S^{I}+S^{f}
$$
where the left hand side is the total amount of its consumption, and the right hand side is the total amount of its income. $S^{f}$ denotes the total amount of savings by the foreign sector, or the deficits in the current account, and it is given by subtracting exports from imports. Since both the amount of exports and the amount of imports can be obtained from the actual social accounting matrix, $S^{f}$ can be calculated from the actual social accounting matrix, and thus it is exogenously given in the model. Furthermore, the foreign trade balance is given by
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{14} p_{i}^{w, e} E_{i}+S^{f}=\sum_{i=1}^{14} p_{i}^{w, m} M_{i}
$$
where $p_{i}^{w, e}$ and $p_{i}^{w, m}$ denote the world prices of export goods, and import goods of good $i$, respectively, and both of them are assumed to be given exogenously. Since $p_{i}^{e}$ and $p_{i}^{m}$ are both measured in the domestic currency, they are also expressed such that:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i}^{e} & =\varepsilon p_{i}^{w, e}, \\
p_{i}^{m} & =\varepsilon p_{i}^{w, m}, i=1,2, \cdots, 14
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $\varepsilon$ denotes the exchange rate. Note that the exogeneity assumption in terms of the
world prices implies that the exchange rate is endogenously determined within the model.
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Figure 1
Aggregate Exports Value of Garment Industry


Data Source: Ministry of Commerce, 2007

Table 1: US Import Values of Clothing (Apparel Products)

| Country | Clothing Value in Million USD |  |  |  |  | Market Share (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Cambodia | 1,239.6 | 1,429.0 | 1,712.8 | 2,135.9 | 2,424.9 | 2.03 | 2.21 | 2.49 | 2.98 | 3.28 |
| China (PRC) | 7,257.6 | 8,927.9 | 15,142.9 | 18,517.6 | 22,744.4 | 11.87 | 13.78 | 22.04 | 25.85 | 30.77 |
| Vietnam | 2,374.6 | 2,562.5 | 2,724.7 | 3,222.1 | 4,358.5 | 3.88 | 3.96 | 3.97 | 4.50 | 5.90 |
| Bangladesh | 1,848.1 | 1,977.6 | 2,371.7 | 2,914.1 | 3,103.4 | 3.02 | 3.05 | 3.45 | 4.07 | 4.20 |
| Indonesia | 2,158.0 | 2,402.8 | 2,875.4 | 3,670.3 | 3,981.1 | 3.53 | 3.71 | 4.18 | 5.12 | 5.39 |
| India | 2,001.5 | 2,217.1 | 2,976.2 | 3,186.9 | 3,169.9 | 3.27 | 3.42 | 4.33 | 4.45 | 4.29 |
| Pakistan | 1,015.5 | 1,137.7 | 1,258.9 | 1,412.0 | 1,498.6 | 1.66 | 1.76 | 1.83 | 1.97 | 2.03 |
| Sri Lanka | 1,435.8 | 1,549.4 | 1,650.2 | 1,682.4 | 1,573.4 | 2.35 | 2.39 | 2.40 | 2.35 | 2.13 |
| Thailand | 1,711.6 | 1,799.4 | 1,807.8 | 1,839.7 | 1,766.3 | 2.80 | 2.78 | 2.63 | 2.57 | 2.39 |
| World | 61,162.1 | 64,767.7 | 68,713.3 | 71,629.8 | 73,922.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Office of Textiles and Apparel (OTEXA) at http://www.otexa.ita.doc.gov.

Table 2: EU Import Values of Clothing (Apparel Products)

| Country | Clothing Value in Millin USD |  |  |  |  | Market Share (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Cambodia | 479.1 | 645.7 | 592.7 | 693.6 | 723.7 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| China (PRC) | 11,600.6 | 14,340.7 | 21,129.6 | 23,709.8 | 29,974.0 | 24.12 | 25.60 | 34.40 | 34.03 | 37.67 |
| Vietnam | 592.6 | 788.9 | 859.1 | 1,286.3 | 1,546.3 | 1.23 | 1.41 | 1.40 | 1.85 | 1.94 |
| Bangladesh | 3,521.4 | 4,626.8 | 4,407.9 | 5,794.7 | 6,037.2 | 7.32 | 8.26 | 7.18 | 8.32 | 7.59 |
| Indonesia | 1,539.9 | 1,663.9 | 1,495.0 | 1,774.8 | 1,638.7 | 3.20 | 2.97 | 2.43 | 2.55 | 2.06 |
| India | 2,693.2 | 3,083.4 | 4,035.0 | 4,785.5 | 5,254.9 | 5.60 | 5.50 | 6.57 | 6.87 | 6.61 |
| Pakistan | 922.2 | 1,140.5 | 970.6 | 1,138.9 | 1,245.8 | 1.92 | 2.04 | 1.58 | 1.63 | 1.57 |
| Sri Lanka | 800.4 | 1,012.3 | 993.4 | 1,216.2 | 1,428.6 | 1.66 | 1.81 | 1.62 | 1.75 | 1.80 |
| Thailand | 965.0 | 1,112.4 | 978.1 | 1,104.6 | 1,094.7 | 2.01 | 1.99 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.38 |
| Total EU27 | 48,094 | 56,014 | 61,424 | 69,675 | 79,559 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: International Textiles and Clothing Bureau (ITCB) at http://www.itcb.org/Trade.htm.

Figure 2


Table 3: Simplified I-O Table (Unit: 1 million US Dollars)

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) | (25) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | 4.7922 | 312.259 | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.143 | 0 | 0.2126 | 0.0001 | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | 0.171 | 0.1118 | 13.4575 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 136.8616 | $-2.4425$ | -0.2571 |
| (2) | 2.7597 | 735.974 | 6.9031 | 0.9597 | 147.6625 | 0.0805 | 11.2716 | 0.1563 | 9.083 | 36.663 | 17.3515 | 13.3967 | 72.8822 | 258.125 | 1905.219 | 6.951 | 0.0237 | 171.0409 | -227.012 | -17.5119 |
| (3) | 0.3772 | 6.4444 | 31.6651 | 1172.591 | 30.1679 | 0.2679 | 21.469 | 0.8029 | 0.3377 | 6.0764 | 2.505 | 8.9599 | 3.9927 | 6.5083 | 17.573 | 13.4947 | 0.0006 | 30.6618 | -1229.81 | -14.384 |
| (4) | 0.0276 | 1.2062 | 1.599 | 24.8757 | 3.257 | 0.2187 | 0.4778 | 3.668 | 0.1734 | 8.1712 | 5.2387 | 4.5714 | 4.2781 | 5.9835 | 89.8358 | 93.2424 | 1.2375 | 2138.163 | -111.745 | $-1.307$ |
| (5) | 46.7669 | 309.9428 | 14.4085 | 47.067 | 490.8796 | 17.8916 | 115.9879 | 82.3155 | 232.9392 | 50.4907 | 344.583 | 18.2695 | 58.8598 | 95.617 | 259.5041 | 132.7216 | 0.0271 | 213.3001 | -1208.88 | $-164.684$ |
| (6) | ${ }^{7.664}$ | 71.5465 | 2.7629 | 12.7719 | 46.6462 | 83.6934 | 8.5967 | 67.2686 | 29.1544 | 31.9889 | 273.7412 | 18.8928 | 50.9722 | 67.5136 | 155.3084 | 241.3272 | 0.0005 | 0 | -910.356 | -91.1208 |
| (7) | 0.1087 | 5.939 | 0.6448 | 55.062 | 10.8626 | 0.337 | 70.6464 | 1.5033 | 1.1785 | 2.3876 | 4.2173 | 3.2538 | 2.1099 | 19.9552 | 71.7137 | 0 | 0 | 127.8576 | -69.8195 | -7.3495 |
| (8) | 0.6179 | 12.6504 | 2.143 | 4.9312 | 19.3157 | 0.4027 | 0.8851 | 22.7318 | 0.1499 | 10.1042 | 4.8631 | 4.6083 | 3.9308 | 14.6569 | 143.7804 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0 | $-5.6477$ | $-0.0661$ |
| (9) | 1.3891 | 4.2271 | 0.1894 | 1.7288 | 7.4572 | 0.1772 | 0.5247 | 6.9525 | 1.7472 | 4.7878 | 2.4439 | 3.8883 | 4.0487 | 51.1697 | 0 | 533.2707 | 0.0002 | 0 | -16.0395 | $-0.1876$ |
| (10) | 41.3072 | 136.8931 | 8.1245 | 109.8345 | 101.5296 | 7.5322 | 37.4531 | 3.8256 | 7.377 | 9.6723 | 12.0913 | 10.1662 | 24.5466 | 84.5378 | 117.0006 | 45.3955 | 0.0001 | 279.726 | -29.3681 | $-0.3435$ |
| (11) | 9.9447 | 108.4852 | 5.3176 | 67.5641 | 158.0245 | 4.1566 | 13.3645 | 12.1175 | 14.1155 | 81.9042 | 120.5846 | 34.2005 | 25.6482 | 68.8946 | 192.1834 | 12.0132 | 0.0153 | 360.7626 | -128.429 | $-1.5292$ |
| (12) | 3.264 | 24.7751 | 1.6087 | 11.3942 | 15.6011 | 0.5998 | 4.2292 | 6.2539 | 1.4402 | 50.8159 | 16.0157 | 23.5927 | 7.7758 | 31.6481 | 22.0115 | 1.5262 | 36.1674 | 0 | $-3.2757$ | $-0.0383$ |
| (13) | 14.089 | 40.1612 | 0.9322 | 10.9312 | 11.0553 | 0.8203 | 2.1389 | 4.3738 | 2.6677 | 38.5164 | 28.5126 | 21.9335 | 9.5624 | 25.2086 | 129.1236 | 4.3421 | 72.3824 | 12.3656 | -50.1517 | -0.5866 |
| (14) | 3.7407 | 16.2141 | 3.0632 | 14.2387 | 10.5767 | 0.8658 | 2.5625 | 4.8172 | 1.7398 | 23.8821 | 17.3522 | 31.3815 | 14.5762 | 195.9056 | 899.3943 | 0.0228 | 380.3362 | 80.1962 | -13.4416 | -0.1572 |
| (15) | 307.5916 | 852.9247 | 10.0243 | 245.4189 | 37.309 | 14.6222 | 4.458 | 4.3614 | 118.7488 | 263.4354 | 40.9153 | 5.6083 | 30.0265 | 135.9675 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (16) | 0.9756 | 13.2299 | 1.5685 | 36.0301 | 7.2882 | 3.4073 | 0.5835 | 2.1378 | 21.2584 | 55.3625 | 10.4399 | 4.3418 | 22.7967 | 147.9039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (17) | 2.3578 | 275.4474 | 17.914 | 434.9156 | 50.6337 | 31.5522 | 5.5805 | 15.9832 | 158.588 | 322.0528 | 254.0651 | 45.5535 | 39.8295 | 455.3549 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (18) | 17.1784 | 203.1887 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (19) | 0.358 | 20.4714 | 0.8324 | 22.8582 | 9.5961 | 1.748 | 0.166 | 0.7889 | 7.0766 | 10.9899 | 4.4172 | 2.7864 | 2.3711 | 22.2048 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Production Sectors: (1) Paddy (No. 1), (2) Other Agriculture (No. 2~8), (3) Textiles (No. 9), (4) Apparel (No. 10), (5) Manufacturing (No. 11~17), (6) Equipment (No. 18~21), (7) Other Manufacturing (No. 22), (8) Utility (No. 23~24), (9) Construction (No. 25), (10) Tourism (No. 26), (11) Services (No. 27~30), (12) Financial Sector (No. 31), (13) Real Estate Business (No. 32), (14) Other Services (No. 33~35)
Income Generating Sectors: (15) Unskilled Labor (No. 42), (16) Skilled Labor (No. 43), (17) Capital (No. 44), (18) Land (No. 45)
Taxes: (19) Tax on domestic production (No. 46), (25) Tax on Imports (Tariff)
Consumption: (20) Household, (21) Investment, (22) Government
Foreign Trade: (23) Exports, (24) Imports

Table 4: Social Accounting Matrix (Unit: 1 million US Dollars)


Table 5: Economic Values of the Benchmark Model

|  | private consumption |  | gov. consumption actual | The amount of Q (final goods) |  |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | actual | model |  | model | actual (value) | model (value) | quantity | price |
| (1) Paddy | 13.4575 | 13.4575 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 331.148303 | 331.148282 | 452.8323902 | 0.73128223 |
| (2) OtherAgri | 1905.2187 | 1905.2187 | 0.0237 | 0.02370001 | 3225.4622 | 3225.47116 | 2873.783279 | 1.12237801 |
| (3) Textiles | 17.573 | 17.573 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 1323.2333 | 1323.23508 | 132.4085832 | 9.99357478 |
| (4) Apparel | 89.8358 | 89.8358002 | 1.2375 | 1.23750062 | 248.062 | 248.06022 | 2819.142447 | 0.08799137 |
| (5) Manufacturing | 259.5041 | 259.5041 | 0.0271 | 0.02710001 | 2318.2718 | 2318.27181 | 1202.253903 | 1.92827139 |
| (6) Equipment | 155.3084 | 155.3084 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 1169.8494 | 1169.85322 | 208.8935301 | 5.60023672 |
| (7) OtherManuf | 71.7137 | 71.7137001 | 0 | 0 | 249.9198 | 249.917117 | 306.372254 | 0.81573025 |
| (8) Utility | 143.7804 | 143.7804 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 245.7719 | 245.773217 | 257.3979997 | 0.95483732 |
| (9) Construction | 0 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 624.0025 | 624.001744 | 824.1601534 | 0.75713651 |
| (10) TrdHotel | 117.0006 | 117.0006 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 757.2872 | 757.285552 | 1455.199273 | 0.5203999 |
| (11) Service | 192.1834 | 192.1834 | 0.0153 | 0.01530001 | 928.5342 | 928.52756 | 1495.802462 | 0.62075547 |
| (12) Finance | 22.0115 | 22.0115 | 36.1674 | 36.1674182 | 258.7195 | 258.718159 | 310.4785484 | 0.83328836 |
| (13) RealEstBuss | 129.1236 | 129.1236 | 72.3824 | 72.3824365 | 416.7512 | 416.750508 | 400.5903449 | 1.04034087 |
| (14) Other Service | 899.3943 | 899.394302 | 380.3362 | 380.336392 | 1620.6696 | 1620.66786 | 2290.087223 | 0.70768827 |

Table 5 (Continued): Economic Values of the Benchmark Model

|  | The amount of $D$ (domestic goods) |  | model quantity | model | The amount of M (imported goods) |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | actual (value) | model (value) |  | price | actual (value) | model (value) | quantity | price |
| (1) Paddy | 328.4487 | 328.448679 | 745.5441889 | 0.44054891 | 2.4425 | 2.44249984 |  |  |
| (2) OtherAgri | 2980.9393 | 2980.94758 | 3378.801357 | 0.88225003 | 227.012 | 227.012631 | 399.3354893 | 0.56847597 |
| (3) Textiles | 79.039402 | 79.0395082 | 233.5476137 | 0.33842995 | 1229.8102 | 1229.81185 | 127.7201817 | 9.62895476 |
| (4) Apparel | 135.009401 | 135.008432 | 224827.7479 | 0.0006005 | 111.7454 | 111.744598 | 15.10182428 | 7.39941057 |
| (5) Manufacturing | 944.705801 | 944.705804 | 1776.48544 | 0.53178359 | 1208.8818 | 1208.8818 | 919.1219454 | 1.31525725 |
| (6) Equipment | 168.373402 | 168.373951 | 208.8936376 | 0.80602719 | 910.3558 | 910.358771 | 208.8936376 | 4.35800143 |
| (7) OtherManuf | 172.750401 | 172.748546 | 584.9899289 | 0.29530174 | 69.8195 | 69.8187504 | 72.0157064 | 0.96949338 |
| (8) Utility | 240.058301 | 240.059587 | 257.3982102 | 0.93263891 | 5.6477 | 5.64773026 | 257.3982102 | 0.02194161 |
| (9) Construction | 607.775302 | 607.774566 | 824.160024 | 0.73744728 | 16.0395 | 16.0394806 | 824.160024 | 0.01946161 |
| (10) TrdHotel | 727.575401 | 727.573817 | 2743.28776 | 0.26521965 | 29.3681 | 29.3680361 | 0.000263146 | 111603.595 |
| (11) Service | 798.575101 | 798.56939 | 2843.838616 | 0.28080686 | 128.4291 | 128.428182 | 28.85811427 | 4.45033173 |
| (12) Finance | 255.405301 | 255.403977 | 310.4783096 | 0.82261456 | 3.2757 | 3.27568302 | 310.4783096 | 0.01055044 |
| (13) RealEstBuss | 366.012801 | 366.012193 | 450.5381436 | 0.81238891 | 50.1517 | 50.1516167 | 171.6227025 | 0.29222018 |
| (14) Other Service | 1607.070601 | 1607.06888 | 2707.038304 | 0.59366315 | 13.4416 | 13.4415856 | 5.95881E-06 | 2255749.74 |

Table 5 (Continued): Economic Values of the Benchmark Model

|  | The amount of E (exported goods) actual (value) | model (value) | model quantity | model <br> price | The amount of $Y$ (composite goods) actual (value) | model (value) | Unit: 1million US model quantity | dollars <br> model <br> price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Paddy | 136.8616 | 136.8616 | 136.8616 | 1 | 328.1034 | 328.1034 | 316.1848894 | 1.03769475 |
| (2) OtherAgri | 171.0409 | 171.0409 | 171.0409 | 1 | 1344.7907 | 1344.7907 | 1112.713274 | 1.20856894 |
| (3) Textiles | 30.6618 | 30.6618 | 30.6618 | 1 | 29.506801 | 29.506801 | 85.92228539 | 0.34341266 |
| (4) Apparel | 2138.1631 | 2138.1631 | 2138.1631 | 1 | 716.364601 | 716.364601 | 2098.652963 | 0.34134495 |
| (5) Manufacturing | 213.3001 | 213.3001 | 213.3001 | 1 | 95.230901 | 95.230901 | 207.8599396 | 0.45814937 |
| (6) Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49.581701 | 49.581701 | 155.0996025 | 0.31967652 |
| (7) OtherManuf | 127.8576 | 127.8576 | 127.8576 | 1 | 10.622001 | 10.622001 | 23.77202961 | 0.44682769 |
| (8) Utility | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22.482401 | 22.482401 | 90.1354372 | 0.2494291 |
| (9) Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 298.595201 | 298.595201 | 657.2106147 | 0.45433716 |
| (10) TrdHotel | 279.726 | 279.726 | 279.726 | 1 | 640.850701 | 640.850701 | 1257.920158 | 0.5094526 |
| (11) Service | 360.7626 | 360.7626 | 360.7626 | 1 | 305.420301 | 305.420301 | 2221.100696 | 0.13750853 |
| (12) Finance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 55.503601 | 55.503601 | 366.7190165 | 0.15135185 |
| (13) RealEstBuss | 12.3656 | 12.3656 | 12.3656 | 1 | 92.652701 | 92.652701 | 123.4548843 | 0.75049846 |
| (14) Other Service | 80.1962 | 80.1962 | 80.1962 | 1 | 739.226301 | 739.226301 | 1920.139691 | 0.38498569 |

Table 5 (Continued): Economic Values of the Benchmark Model

|  | capital income actual | model | skilled labor income actual | model | unskilled labor income actual | model | Unit: 1million land income actual | S dollars <br> model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Paddy | 2.3578 | 2.3578 | 0.9756 | 0.9756 | 307.5916 | 307.5916 | 17.1784 | 17.1784 |
| (2) OtherAgri | 275.4474 | 275.4474 | 13.2299 | 13.2299 | 852.9247 | 852.9247 | 203.1887 | 203.1887 |
| (3) Textiles | 17.914 | 17.914 | 1.5685 | 1.5685 | 10.0243 | 10.0243 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (4) Apparel | 434.9156 | 434.9156 | 36.0301 | 36.0301 | 245.4189 | 245.4189 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (5) Manufacturing | 50.6337 | 50.6337 | 7.2882 | 7.2882 | 37.309 | 37.309 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (6) Equipment | 31.5522 | 31.5522 | 3.4073 | 3.4073 | 14.6222 | 14.6222 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (7) OtherManuf | 5.5805 | 5.5805 | 0.5835 | 0.5835 | 4.458 | 4.458 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (8) Utility | 15.9832 | 15.9832 | 2.1378 | 2.1378 | 4.3614 | 4.3614 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (9) Construction | 158.588 | 158.588 | 21.2584 | 21.2584 | 118.7488 | 118.7488 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (10) TrdHotel | 322.0528 | 322.0528 | 55.3625 | 55.3625 | 263.4354 | 263.4354 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (11) Service | 254.0651 | 254.0651 | 10.4399 | 10.4399 | 40.9153 | 40.9153 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (12) Finance | 45.5535 | 45.5535 | 4.3418 | 4.3418 | 5.6083 | 5.6083 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (13) RealEstBuss | 39.8295 | 39.8295 | 22.7967 | 22.7967 | 30.0265 | 30.0265 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |
| (14) Other Service | 455.3549 | 455.3549 | 147.9039 | 147.9039 | 135.9675 | 135.9675 | 0.000001 | 0.000001 |

Table 5 (Continued): Economic Values of the Benchmark Model

| The amount of taxes |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars | savings |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | actual (value) | model (value) |  | actual (value) | model (value) |
| income tax | 125.8263016 | 125.826305 | private | 587 | 587 |
| production tax | 106.665 | 106.665 | government | 41.8226013 | 41.8226224 |
| tariff | 299.523 | 299.523265 | foreign | 455.485107 | 455.48772 |
| calculated tax rates | production |  |  |  |  |
|  | tax rate | tariff rate |  | income tax rate |  |
| (1) Paddy | 0.08\% | 10.53\% | household | 2.66\% |  |
| (2) OtherAgri | 0.65\% | 7.71\% |  |  |  |
| (3) Textiles | 0.76\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
| (4) Apparel | 1.02\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
| (5) Manufacturing | 0.84\% | 13.62\% |  |  |  |
| (6) Equipmtment | 1.05\% | 10.01\% |  |  |  |
| (7) OtherManuf | 0.06\% | 10.53\% |  |  |  |
| (8) Utility | 0.33\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
| (9) Construction | 1.18\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
| (10) TrdHotel | 1.10\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
| (11) Service | 0.38\% | 1.19\% |  |  |  |
| (12) Finance | 1.10\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
| (13) RealEstBuss | 0.63\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
| (14) Other Service | 1.33\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |

Table 6: Parameter Values of the Benchmark Model
$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{5} & \alpha_{6} & \alpha_{7} & \alpha_{8} & \alpha_{9} & \alpha_{10} & \alpha_{11}\end{array} \alpha_{12}$

| Values | 0.00335 | 0.47439 | 0.00437 | 0.02236 | 0.06461 | 0.03867 | 0.01785 | 0.03580 | 0.00000 | 0.02913 | 0.04785 | 0.00548 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha_{13}$ | $\alpha_{14}$ | $a y_{1}$ | $a y_{2}$ | $a y_{3}$ | $a y_{4}$ | $a y_{5}$ | $a y_{6}$ | $a y_{7}$ | $a y_{8}$ | $a y_{9}$ | $a y_{10}$ |
| Values | 0.03215 | 0.22394 | 0.70567 | 0.42943 | 0.27103 | 0.18339 | 0.08292 | 0.29756 | 0.03535 | 0.09396 | 0.49707 | 0.64322 |
|  | $a y_{11}$ | $a y_{12}$ | $a y_{13}$ | $a y_{14}$ | $\gamma_{1}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{2}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{3}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{4}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{5}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{6}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{7}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{8}^{m}$ |
| Values | 0.26455 | 0.21971 | 0.24641 | 0.44396 | 0.00815 | 0.07581 | 0.94026 | 0.45574 | 0.59249 | 0.85607 | 0.30877 | 0.02324 |
|  | $\gamma_{9}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{10}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{11}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{12}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{13}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{14}^{m}$ | $\gamma_{1}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{2}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{3}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{4}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{5}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{6}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Values | 0.02600 | 0.03923 | 0.13996 | 0.01280 | 0.12174 | 0.00839 | 0.99184 | 0.92418 | 0.05973 | 0.54425 | 0.40750 | 0.14392 |


|  | $\gamma_{7}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{8}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{9}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{10}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{11}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{12}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{13}^{d}$ | $\gamma_{14}^{d}$ | $\kappa_{1}^{d}$ | $\kappa_{2}^{d}$ | $\kappa_{3}^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Values | 0.69122 | 0.97675 | 0.97399 | 0.96076 | 0.86003 | 0.98718 | 0.87825 | 0.99160 | 0.70587 | 0.945735 | 0.720497 |

$$
\kappa_{5}^{d} \quad \kappa_{6}^{d} \quad \kappa_{7}^{d} \quad \kappa_{8}^{d} \quad \kappa_{9}^{d} \quad \kappa_{10}^{d} \quad \kappa_{11}^{d} \quad \kappa_{12}^{d} \quad \kappa_{13}^{d} \quad \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{14}^{d} \quad \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{1}^{e} \quad \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{2}^{e}
$$

| Values | 0.815804 | 1 | 0.57467 | 1 | 1 | 0.722302 | 0.68882 |  | 1 | 0.967319 | 0.95247 | 0.29413 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 0.054265


|  | $\kappa_{3}^{e}$ |  | $\kappa_{4}^{e}$ | $\kappa_{5}^{e}$ | $\kappa$ | $\kappa_{8}^{e}$ | $\kappa_{10}^{e}$ | $\kappa_{11}^{e}$ | $\kappa_{12}^{e}$ | $\kappa_{13}^{e} \quad \kappa_{14}^{e}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Values | 0.279503 | 0.940607 | 0.184196 | $0.0 \quad 0.4253$ | 5330.0 | $0.0 \quad 0.277$ | $7698 \quad 0.311$ | 1180.0 | 0.032681 | 0.04753 |  |
|  | $\beta_{K, 1}$ | $\beta_{K, 2}$ | $\beta_{K, 3}$ | $\beta_{K, 4}$ | $\beta_{K, 5}$ | $\beta_{K, 6}$ | $\beta_{K, 7}$ | $\beta_{K, 8}$ | $\beta_{K, 9}$ | $\beta_{K, 10}$ | $\beta_{K, 11}$ | $\beta_{K, 12}$ |
| Values | 0.007186 | 0.204825 | 0.607114 | 0.607115 | 0.531694 | 0.636368 | 0.525371 | 0.71092 | 0.531114 | 0.50254 | 0.831854 | 0.82073 |
|  | $\beta_{K, 13}$ | $\beta_{K, 14}$ | $\beta_{L^{u s, 1}}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {Ls }} \text {,2 }}$ | $\beta_{L^{u s, 3}}$ | $\beta_{L^{u s, 4}}$ | $\beta_{L^{u s}, 5}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {Ls, }} \text {, }}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {Ls }}, 7}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {us, }} \text {, }}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {Ls, }} \text {, }}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {Ls, }, 10}}$ |
| Values | 0.429879 | 0.615988 | 0.937484 | 0.634243 | 0.339728 | 0.342589 | 0.391774 | 0.294911 | 0.419695 | 0.193992 | 0.397692 | 0.411071 |
|  | $\beta_{L^{\text {LS }} \text {,11 }}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {LS }} \text {,12 }}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {Ls, }, 13}}$ | $\beta_{L^{\text {Ls, }} \text {, }}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 1}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 2}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 3}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 4}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 5}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 6}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 7}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 8}$ |
| Values | 0.133964 | 0.101044 | 0.324076 | 0.183932 | 0.002973 | 0.009838 | 0.053157 | 0.050296 | 0.076532 | 0.068721 | 0.054933 | 0.095088 |
|  | $\beta_{L^{s}, 9}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 10}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 11}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 12}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 13}$ | $\beta_{L^{s}, 14}$ | $\beta_{N, 1}$ | $\beta_{N, 2}$ | $\beta_{N, 3}$ | $\beta_{N, 4}$ | $\beta_{N, 5}$ | $\beta_{N, 6}$ |
| Values | 0.071195 | 0.086389 | 0.034182 | 0.078226 | 0.246045 | 0.200079 | 0.052357 | 0.151093 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
|  |  |  | $\beta_{N, 7}$ | $\beta_{N, 8}$ | $\beta_{N, 9}$ | $\beta_{N, 10}$ | $\beta_{N, 11}$ | $\beta_{N, 12}$ | $\beta_{N, 13}$ | $\beta_{N, 14}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Values | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |  |  |

Table 7: The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

| Unit: 1 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PRIVATE Consumption | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 13.45750002 | 12.78927591 | 12.11640075 | 11.44352637 | 10.77065121 | 10.09777604 | 9.42490085 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 1905.218703 | 1810.616208 | 1715.355251 | 1620.094403 | 1524.833446 | 1429.572487 | 1334.311525 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 17.57300003 | 16.70042322 | 15.82177302 | 14.94312382 | 14.06447362 | 13.18582339 | 12.30717315 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 89.83580016 | 85.37505721 | 80.8832662 | 76.39148028 | 71.89968923 | 67.4078981 | 62.91610684 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 259.5041005 | 246.6185795 | 233.6433716 | 220.6681784 | 207.6929703 | 194.7177621 | 181.7425534 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 155.3084003 | 147.5966545 | 139.8312328 | 132.0658198 | 124.300398 | 116.5349761 | 108.7695539 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 71.71370012 | 68.15279922 | 64.56711342 | 60.98143167 | 57.39574584 | 53.81005993 | 50.22437393 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 143.7804003 | 136.6410704 | 129.4520488 | 122.2630353 | 115.0740137 | 107.8849919 | 100.6959698 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 117.0006002 | 111.1910053 | 105.340974 | 99.49094935 | 93.64091799 | 87.79088651 | 81.94085487 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 192.1834003 | 182.6406485 | 173.0314763 | 163.4223151 | 153.8131428 | 144.2039704 | 134.5947977 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 22.01150004 | 20.91853216 | 19.81795692 | 18.71738292 | 17.61680766 | 16.51623238 | 15.41565707 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 129.1236002 | 122.7120451 | 116.2558636 | 109.7996895 | 103.343508 | 96.88732634 | 90.43114452 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

| GOV CONSUMPTION | Benchmark | 5\% decrease | 10\% decrease | 15\% decrease | 20\% decrease | 25\% decrease | Unit: 1 million US dollars $30 \%$ decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 0.0003 | 0.000285119 | 0.000270119 | 0.000255119 | 0.000240119 | 0.000225119 | 0.000210119 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 0.023700012 | 0.022524426 | 0.021339425 | 0.020154425 | 0.018969424 | 0.017784423 | 0.016599422 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 0.0006 | 0.000570239 | 0.000540239 | 0.000510239 | 0.000480239 | 0.000450239 | 0.000420239 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 1.237500624 | 1.176117155 | 1.114242107 | 1.052367128 | 0.990492079 | 0.92861703 | 0.866741978 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 0.027100014 | 0.025755778 | 0.024400777 | 0.023045777 | 0.021690776 | 0.020335775 | 0.018980774 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 0.0005 | 0.000475199 | 0.000450199 | 0.000425199 | 0.000400199 | 0.000375199 | 0.000350199 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 0.0004 | 0.000380159 | 0.000360159 | 0.000340159 | 0.000320159 | 0.000300159 | 0.000280159 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 0.0002 | 0.00019008 | 0.00018008 | 0.00017008 | 0.00016008 | 0.00015008 | 0.00014008 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 0.0001 | $9.50398 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.00398 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.50398 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.00398 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.50398 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.00398 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 0.015300008 | 0.014541085 | 0.013776084 | 0.013011084 | 0.012246084 | 0.011481083 | 0.010716083 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 36.16741825 | 34.37341382 | 32.5650424 | 30.75667303 | 28.9483016 | 27.13993015 | 25.33155865 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 72.38243652 | 68.79206656 | 65.17294374 | 61.55382498 | 57.93470213 | 54.31557922 | 50.69645622 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 380.3363919 | 361.4706501 | 342.4538253 | 323.4370218 | 304.4201969 | 285.4033715 | 266.3865458 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

| Q (FINAL GOOD) | Benchmark | 5\% decrease | 10\% decrease | 15\% decrease | 20\% decrease | 25\% decrease | Unit: 1 mill $30 \%$ decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 331.1482817 | 314.7210674 | 298.1636493 | 281.6062503 | 265.0488325 | 248.4914144 | 231.9339957 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 3225.471163 | 3065.369188 | 2904.095569 | 2742.822133 | 2581.548514 | 2420.274893 | 2259.001268 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 1323.235078 | 1257.137605 | 1190.975823 | 1124.81412 | 1058.65234 | 992.4905597 | 926.32878 |
| 4 | 248.0602201 | 235.6572147 | 223.254209 | 210.8512176 | 198.4482109 | 186.0452037 | 173.642196 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 2318.271808 | 2203.422691 | 2087.509076 | 1971.59559 | 1855.681973 | 1739.768353 | 1623.854729 |
| 6 Equip | 1169.853218 | 1111.956274 | 1053.463576 | 994.9709442 | 936.478248 | 877.9855519 | 819.4928559 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 249.9171168 | 237.4989608 | 225.0031201 | 212.5072925 | 200.01145 | 187.5156059 | 175.0197598 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 245.7732167 | 233.582066 | 221.2933931 | 209.0047348 | 196.7160634 | 184.4273922 | 172.1387211 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 624.001744 | 593.3256795 | 562.1255898 | 530.9255325 | 499.7254419 | 468.5253497 | 437.3252558 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 757.2855517 | 719.7017081 | 681.8374271 | 643.9731884 | 606.1089074 | 568.2446248 | 530.3803404 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 928.52756 | 882.4528395 | 836.0264871 | 789.6001844 | 743.1738262 | 696.7474653 | 650.3211003 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 258.7181591 | 245.8876157 | 232.9517145 | 220.0158264 | 207.0799225 | 194.1440185 | 181.2081134 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 416.7505077 | 396.077594 | 375.2400672 | 354.4025644 | 333.5650375 | 312.7275101 | 291.8899818 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 1620.667861 | 1540.229227 | 1459.195823 | 1378.162511 | 1297.129105 | 1216.095697 | 1135.062286 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D (DOMESTIC GOOD) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 328.4486788 | 312.1553833 | 295.7329454 | 279.3105264 | 262.8880888 | 246.4656508 | 230.0432123 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 2980.947584 | 2832.98297 | 2683.935534 | 2534.888268 | 2385.840833 | 2236.793395 | 2087.745954 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 79.03950818 | 75.09137242 | 71.13939533 | 67.18742295 | 63.23544597 | 59.28346901 | 55.33149206 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 135.0084323 | 128.2580137 | 121.507595 | 114.757184 | 108.0067648 | 101.2563452 | 94.50592543 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 944.7058043 | 897.9042916 | 850.6689915 | 803.4337437 | 756.1984424 | 708.9631402 | 661.7278365 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 168.3739515 | 160.040994 | 151.622291 | 143.2035976 | 134.784895 | 126.3661923 | 117.9474897 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 172.7485463 | 164.1648269 | 155.5274101 | 146.8900023 | 138.2525842 | 129.615165 | 120.9777444 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 240.0595871 | 228.151851 | 216.1488599 | 204.145883 | 192.1428933 | 180.1399038 | 168.1369144 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 607.7745657 | 577.8962329 | 547.5075021 | 517.118803 | 486.7300714 | 456.3413383 | 425.9526034 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 727.5738174 | 691.4645578 | 655.0858637 | 618.7072101 | 582.328516 | 545.9498203 | 509.5711229 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 798.5693903 | 758.9433598 | 719.0149123 | 679.0865075 | 639.158055 | 599.2296002 | 559.3011419 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 255.4039773 | 242.7377933 | 229.967601 | 217.1974218 | 204.4272269 | 191.657032 | 178.8868359 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 366.012193 | 347.856154 | 329.5555431 | 311.2549533 | 292.9543423 | 274.6537308 | 256.3531187 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 1607.068877 | 1527.305201 | 1446.951747 | 1366.598383 | 1286.244926 | 1205.891468 | 1125.538006 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

| M (IMPORTS) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | Unit: 1 million US docrease |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 2.442499843 | 2.321335185 | 2.199210163 | 2.077085282 | 1.954960263 | 1.832835241 | 1.710710215 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 227.0126309 | 215.7444568 | 204.3938209 | 193.043198 | 181.6925622 | 170.3419262 | 158.99129 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 1229.811852 | 1168.381002 | 1106.890384 | 1045.399838 | 983.909221 | 922.4186043 | 860.9279877 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 111.7445982 | 106.1573708 | 100.5701433 | 94.9829222 | 89.39569424 | 83.80846607 | 78.22123764 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 1208.881804 | 1148.992792 | 1088.548689 | 1028.104654 | 967.6605492 | 907.2164437 | 846.7723362 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 910.3587709 | 865.3044091 | 819.7864414 | 774.2685254 | 728.7505594 | 683.2325935 | 637.7146276 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 69.81875041 | 66.34951969 | 62.8585864 | 59.36765679 | 55.87672299 | 52.38578874 | 48.89485396 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 5.647730257 | 5.367584473 | 5.085197683 | 4.802811227 | 4.520424472 | 4.238037721 | 3.955650972 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 16.03948057 | 15.25097614 | 14.44900204 | 13.64702878 | 12.84505467 | 12.04308051 | 11.24110631 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 29.36803608 | 27.91050969 | 26.44210775 | 24.97370746 | 23.50530552 | 22.03690352 | 20.56850146 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 128.4281816 | 122.0554116 | 115.6340061 | 109.2126074 | 102.7912011 | 96.36979434 | 89.94838706 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 3.275683023 | 3.113232914 | 2.949448847 | 2.785664949 | 2.621880848 | 2.458096748 | 2.294312632 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 50.15161669 | 47.66384517 | 45.15626417 | 42.64868605 | 40.14110503 | 37.63352395 | 35.12594277 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 13.44158558 | 12.77443914 | 12.10235978 | 11.43028116 | 10.75820178 | 10.08612238 | 9.414042954 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E (EXPORTS) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 136.8616 | 130.0723308 | 123.2292491 | 116.3861753 | 109.5430938 | 102.7000121 | 95.85693017 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 171.0409 | 162.5509833 | 153.9989364 | 145.4468992 | 136.8948522 | 128.342805 | 119.7907576 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 30.6618 | 29.13019982 | 27.59710946 | 26.06402091 | 24.53093055 | 22.99784019 | 21.46474981 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 2138.1631 | 2031.25492 | 1924.346739 | 1817.438686 | 1710.530505 | 1603.622325 | 1496.714145 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 213.3001 | 202.7330353 | 192.0680281 | 181.4030327 | 170.7380252 | 160.0730175 | 149.4080094 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | $1.68373 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.60041 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.51622 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.43203 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.34785 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.26366 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.17947 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 127.8576 | 121.5044615 | 115.11158 | 108.7187057 | 102.3258244 | 95.93294287 | 89.54006103 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | $2.40058 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.28151 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.16148 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.04145 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.92142 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.80139 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.68136 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 9 Construct (25) | $6.07775 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.77897 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.47508 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.17119 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.86731 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.56342 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.25953 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 279.726 | 265.8432848 | 251.8569817 | 237.8706943 | 223.8843913 | 209.8980878 | 195.9117838 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 360.7626 | 342.8610858 | 324.8229521 | 306.7848384 | 288.7467041 | 270.7085695 | 252.6704344 |
| 12 Finance (31) | $2.55405 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.42739 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.29969 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.17199 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.04428 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.91658 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.78888 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 12.3656 | 11.75220416 | 11.133924 | 10.51564457 | 9.897364425 | 9.279084271 | 8.6608041 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 80.1962 | 76.21582032 | 72.20600945 | 68.19620308 | 64.18639213 | 60.17658111 | 56.16676997 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

| Y (COMPOSITE GOOD) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | 10\% decrease | 15\% decrease | 20\% decrease | 25\% decrease | Unit: 1 million US dollars 30\% decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 328.1034 | 311.8272326 | 295.4220586 | 279.0169035 | 262.6117298 | 246.2065558 | 229.8013811 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 1344.7907 | 1278.039642 | 1210.800092 | 1143.560618 | 1076.321067 | 1009.081515 | 941.8419615 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 29.506801 | 28.03289465 | 26.55755425 | 25.08221559 | 23.6068752 | 22.1315348 | 20.65619439 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 716.364601 | 680.5463624 | 644.7281238 | 608.9099279 | 573.0916893 | 537.2734508 | 501.4552122 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 95.230901 | 90.51308282 | 85.75153677 | 80.989996 | 76.22844984 | 71.46690358 | 66.70535717 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 49.581701 | 47.12786571 | 44.6487801 | 42.16969724 | 39.6906116 | 37.21152589 | 34.73244013 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 10.622001 | 10.09420254 | 9.563102369 | 9.032002798 | 8.500902637 | 7.96980246 | 7.438702258 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 22.482401 | 21.36720189 | 20.24308156 | 19.1189625 | 17.9948422 | 16.87072187 | 15.74660148 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 298.595201 | 283.9161958 | 268.9864329 | 254.0566855 | 239.1269223 | 224.1971584 | 209.2673938 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 640.850701 | 609.0454781 | 577.002936 | 544.9604297 | 512.9178879 | 480.8753449 | 448.8328005 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 305.420301 | 290.2649444 | 274.9939262 | 259.722925 | 244.4519062 | 229.1808874 | 213.909868 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 55.503601 | 52.75102368 | 49.97584314 | 47.20066556 | 44.42548466 | 41.65030391 | 38.87512303 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 92.652701 | 88.05666186 | 83.42402566 | 78.79139484 | 74.15875871 | 69.52612251 | 64.89348618 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 739.226301 | 702.5362665 | 665.5749434 | 628.6136618 | 591.652338 | 554.6910136 | 517.729688 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

| Unit: 1 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAPITAL INCOME | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 2.3578 | 2.240837032 | 2.122947003 | 2.00505711 | 1.887167084 | 1.769277055 | 1.651387021 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 275.4474 | 261.7750825 | 248.0027095 | 234.230352 | 220.4579788 | 206.6856053 | 192.9132314 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 17.914 | 17.01917042 | 16.12347021 | 15.22777105 | 14.33207085 | 13.43637063 | 12.54067041 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 434.9156 | 413.1698148 | 391.4240296 | 369.6782704 | 347.9324852 | 326.1867 | 304.4409148 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 50.6337 | 48.12526432 | 45.59357878 | 43.06189606 | 40.53021047 | 37.99852483 | 35.4668391 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 31.5522 | 29.99065814 | 28.41304778 | 26.83543917 | 25.25782879 | 23.68021838 | 22.10260792 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 5.5805 | 5.303209565 | 5.024184499 | 4.74515975 | 4.466134692 | 4.187109626 | 3.908084545 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 15.9832 | 15.19038208 | 14.39122188 | 13.59206259 | 12.79290241 | 11.9937422 | 11.19458196 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 158.588 | 150.7917793 | 142.8623778 | 134.9329845 | 127.0035829 | 119.0741808 | 111.1447784 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 322.0528 | 306.0694187 | 289.9667752 | 273.8641497 | 257.7615062 | 241.6588622 | 225.5562176 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 254.0651 | 241.458056 | 228.7547983 | 216.0515548 | 203.3482968 | 190.6450386 | 177.94178 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 45.5535 | 43.29437575 | 41.01670035 | 38.73902737 | 36.46135168 | 34.1836761 | 31.90600042 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: 1 milli |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| unsKiLLEd LABoR income | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 307.5916 | 292.3329578 | 276.9533741 | 261.573808 | 246.1942246 | 230.8146408 | 215.4350565 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 852.9247 | 810.5882783 | 767.9420338 | 725.2958376 | 682.6495927 | 640.0033469 | 597.3570998 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 10.0243 | 9.523572069 | 9.02235695 | 8.521142424 | 8.019927307 | 7.518712188 | 7.017497064 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 245.4189 | 233.1479521 | 220.8770041 | 208.6060709 | 196.3351229 | 184.064175 | 171.7932271 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 37.309 | 35.46068106 | 33.59523066 | 31.72978234 | 29.8643319 | 27.99888143 | 26.1334309 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 14.6222 | 13.89853644 | 13.16742627 | 12.43631692 | 11.70520674 | 10.97409655 | 10.24298634 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 4.458 | 4.236485663 | 4.01358561 | 3.790685811 | 3.567785764 | 3.344885711 | 3.121985647 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 4.3614 | 4.145060589 | 3.926990534 | 3.708920727 | 3.490850678 | 3.272780623 | 3.054710557 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 118.7488 | 112.9110831 | 106.973642 | 101.036207 | 95.09876574 | 89.16132421 | 83.22388238 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 263.4354 | 250.3611822 | 237.1894093 | 224.0176512 | 210.8458784 | 197.6741051 | 184.5023313 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 40.9153 | 38.88502906 | 36.83926364 | 34.79350049 | 32.747735 | 30.70196948 | 28.6562039 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 5.6083 | 5.330168868 | 5.049753818 | 4.769339068 | 4.488923982 | 4.208508911 | 3.928093827 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 30.0265 | 28.53703485 | 27.03570948 | 25.53438585 | 24.0330605 | 22.53173513 | 21.03040971 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

| Unit: 1 milli |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LAND INCOME | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 17.1784 | 16.32623415 | 15.46731394 | 14.60839471 | 13.74947452 | 12.89055431 | 12.03163407 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 203.1887 | 193.1030705 | 182.9436333 | 172.7842075 | 162.6247701 | 152.4653326 | 142.3058947 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 7 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) in Value

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| THE AMOUNT OF TAXES | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| INCOME TAX | 125.826305 | 119.5784751 | 113.2871584 | 106.9958489 | 100.7045322 | 94.41321535 | 88.12189835 |
| PRODUCTION TAX | 106.665 | 101.366933 | 96.03368185 | 90.70043671 | 85.36718546 | 80.03393413 | 74.70068265 |
| TARIFF | 299.5232647 | 284.6797609 | 269.7035928 | 254.7274416 | 239.7512735 | 224.7751052 | 209.7989365 |

Unit: 1 million US dollars

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| THE AMOUNT OF SAVINGS | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| PRIVATE | 587 | 557.8528659 | 528.5028594 | 499.1528861 | 469.8028793 | 440.452872 | 411.1028638 |
| GOVERNMENT | 41.8226224 | 39.74810413 | 37.65697243 | 35.56584309 | 33.47471137 | 31.38357962 | 29.29244783 |
| FOREIGN | 455.4877076 | 433.4685482 | 410.6941409 | 387.9197555 | 365.145349 | 342.3709418 | 319.5965338 |

Unit: 1 million US dollars

| equivalent variation | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EV |  | -138.597749 | -282.365819 | -430.613166 | -583.595124 | -741.588382 | -904.894226 |

Table 8-1: The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) on Q (final consumption good)

|  | Benchmark |  | 5\% decrease |  | 10\% decrease |  | 15\% decrease |  | 20\% decrease |  | 25\% decrease |  | 30\% decrease |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 452.8323902 | 0.731282233 | 446.1520336 | 0.705412155 | 438.9038072 | 0.679337122 | 431.0768929 | 0.653262225 | 422.5990939 | 0.627187413 | 413.3857127 | 0.60111273 | 403.3366847 | 0.575038186 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 2873.783279 | 1.122378012 | 2797.269214 | 1.095843465 | 2716.355378 | 1.069114738 | 2631.292063 | 1.042386048 | 2541.75147 | 1.015657331 | 2447.370664 | 0.98892862 | 2347.746285 | 0.962199912 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 132.4085832 | 9.99357478 | 126.5098858 | 9.937070112 | 120.5378759 | 9.880511118 | 114.4971079 | 9.823952238 | 108.3863732 | 9.76739334 | 102.2044565 | 9.71083447 | 95.95010705 | 9.654275628 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 2819.142447 | 0.087991375 | 2693.929761 | 0.087477119 | 2567.018168 | 0.086970249 | 2438.397294 | 0.086471232 | 2308.060793 | 0.085980496 | 2176.006738 | 0.08549845 | 2042.236579 | 0.085025505 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 1202.253903 | 1.928271392 | 1144.94916 | 1.924472079 | 1086.883396 | 1.920637563 | 1028.585376 | 1.916803054 | 970.0535764 | 1.912968539 | 911.286652 | 1.909134024 | 852.2831825 | 1.905299509 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 208.8935322 | 5.600236665 | 199.7340622 | 5.567183995 | 190.3692236 | 5.533791419 | 180.8906889 | 5.500398889 | 171.2963538 | 5.467006314 | 161.5840938 | 5.433613739 | 151.751721 | 5.400221166 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 306.372254 | 0.815730255 | 291.3821065 | 0.815077369 | 276.273918 | 0.814420419 | 261.1413513 | 0.813763471 | 245.9843137 | 0.813106523 | 230.8027621 | 0.812449574 | 215.5966367 | 0.811792626 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 257.3980022 | 0.954837313 | 244.9365532 | 0.953643149 | 232.3437916 | 0.95243945 | 219.7191749 | 0.951235753 | 207.0625539 | 0.950032054 | 194.3738203 | 0.948828355 | 181.6528517 | 0.947624656 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 824.1601616 | 0.757136505 | 795.7658471 | 0.745603348 | 765.9710165 | 0.733873185 | 735.2082705 | 0.722143036 | 703.4295977 | 0.710412874 | 670.5838614 | 0.69868271 | 636.6163972 | 0.686952547 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 1455.199273 | 0.520399897 | 1416.625022 | 0.50803967 | 1375.817084 | 0.495587266 | 1332.905475 | 0.483134926 | 1287.723141 | 0.470682624 | 1240.085021 | 0.458230376 | 1189.785318 | 0.445778186 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 1495.802462 | 0.62075547 | 1424.908558 | 0.619304891 | 1353.136893 | 0.617843244 | 1281.024916 | 0.616381598 | 1208.570033 | 0.614919951 | 1135.769877 | 0.613458306 | 1062.621975 | 0.611996661 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 310.4785515 | 0.833288348 | 295.6803816 | 0.831599359 | 280.6997157 | 0.82989651 | 265.6574619 | 0.828193663 | 250.5532048 | 0.826490815 | 235.3865799 | 0.824787966 | 220.1571981 | 0.823085118 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 400.5903449 | 1.040340869 | 384.4766666 | 1.030173294 | 367.9095458 | 1.019924793 | 351.0061225 | 1.009676304 | 333.7560114 | 0.999427804 | 316.1484566 | 0.989179303 | 298.1722312 | 0.978930803 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 2290.087223 | 0.707688269 | 2202.116673 | 0.699431254 | 2111.370236 | 0.691113192 | 2018.412888 | 0.68279514 | 1923.162616 | 0.67447708 | 1825.533634 | 0.66615902 | 1725.435712 | 0.657840961 |

Table 8-2: The Effect of a Decrease in Exports of Apparel (Clothing) on Q (final consumption good)
in the Relative Changes
Unit: \%

|  | 5\% decrease |  | 10\% decrease |  | 15\% decrease |  | 20\% decrease |  | 25\% decrease | 30\% decrease |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE |
| 1 Paddy (1) | -1.47523824 | -3.5376326 | $-3.07588045$ | -7.10329184 | -4.80431564 | -10.6689325 | -6.67648715 | -14.2345616 | -8.71109893 | -17.8001731 | -10.9302485 | -21.3657655 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | -2.66248555 | -2.3641364 | -5.47807143 | -4.74557353 | -8.43804813 | -7.12700743 | -11.5538221 | -9.50844367 | -14.8380227 | -11.8898794 | -18.3046856 | -14.2713149 |
| 3 Textile (9) | -4.45492071 | -0.56540996 | -8.9652098 | -1.13136355 | -13.5274276 | -1.69731598 | -18.142487 | -2.26326859 | -22.8113057 | -2.82922093 | -27.5348284 | -3.39517299 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | -4.44151684 | -0.58443884 | -8.94329691 | -1.16048427 | -13.5057082 | -1.72760476 | -18.1289759 | -2.28531413 | -22.8131682 | -2.83314674 | -27.5582339 | -3.37063736 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | -4.76644266 | -0.19703208 | -9.5961849 | -0.39588979 | -14.4452455 | -0.5947471 | -19.3137511 | -0.79360477 | -24.2018138 | -0.99246241 | -29.1095516 | -1.19132003 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | -4.38475516 | -0.5902013 | -8.86782293 | -1.1864721 | -13.4053185 | -1.78274207 | -17.9982491 | -2.37901287 | -22.647632 | -2.97528365 | -27.3545143 | -3.5715544 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | -4.89278884 | -0.08003699 | -9.82410632 | -0.16057218 | -14.7633809 | -0.24110709 | -19.7106427 | -0.3216421 | -24.6659059 | -0.40217711 | -29.62919 | -0.48271214 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | -4.84131535 | -0.12506456 | -9.73364609 | -0.25112784 | -14.6383527 | -0.37719091 | -19.555493 | -0.50325416 | -24.4851092 | -0.62931737 | -29.4272488 | -0.75538066 |
| 9 Construct (25) | $-3.4452423$ | -1.52325994 | -7.06041711 | -3.07253964 | -10.7930346 | -4.62181767 | -14.6489201 | -6.17109738 | -18.6342785 | -7.72037725 | -22.7557425 | -9.26965703 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | -2.65078824 | -2.37514023 | -5.45507346 | -4.76799304 | -8.40392102 | -7.16083362 | -11.5088108 | -9.55366691 | -14.7824601 | -11.9464899 | -18.239011 | -14.3393017 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | -4.7395231 | -0.2336796 | -9.5377279 | -0.46914225 | -14.3586838 | -0.70460469 | -19.2025642 | -0.94006719 | -24.0695275 | -1.17552948 | -28.9597389 | -1.41099183 |
| 12 Finance (31) | -4.76624546 | -0.20268961 | -9.59126989 | -0.40704245 | -14.4361307 | -0.61139516 | -19.3009618 | -0.81574792 | -24.1858805 | -1.02010084 | -29.0910122 | -1.22445366 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | -4.02248295 | -0.97733116 | -8.15815945 | -1.9624411 | -12.3777877 | -2.94754982 | -16.6839602 | -3.93265964 | -21.0793618 | -4.91776951 | -25.5667954 | -5.90287934 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | -3.84136241 | -1.16675865 | -7.80393798 | -2.34214375 | -11.8630562 | -3.51752738 | -16.0222983 | -4.69291218 | -20.2854103 | -5.86829684 | -24.6563321 | -7.04368153 |

Table 9: The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value
Unit: 1 million US dollars

| PRIVATE CONSUMPTION | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 13.45750002 | 12.78610581 | 12.11322975 | 11.44035447 | 10.7674784 | 10.09460236 | 9.42172627 |  |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 1905.218703 | 1810.167408 | 1714.906322 | 1619.645348 | 1524.384262 | 1429.123179 | 1333.86209 |  |
| 3 Textile (9) | 17.57300003 | 16.69628366 | 15.81763227 | 14.93898192 | 14.06033052 | 13.18167915 | 12.30302773 |  |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 89.8350016 | 85.35389517 | 80.86209807 | 76.37030624 | 71.87850912 | 67.38671212 | 62.89491487 |  |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 259.5041005 | 246.5574498 | 233.5822243 | 220.607014 | 207.6317884 | 194.6565632 | 181.6813373 |  |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 155.3084003 | 147.5600695 | 139.7946372 | 132.0292141 | 124.2637818 | 116.4983497 | 108.7329171 |  |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 71.71370012 | 68.13590608 | 64.55021542 | 60.96452896 | 57.37883827 | 53.79314769 | 50.2074569 |  |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 143.7804003 | 136.607201 | 129.4181697 | 122.2291467 | 115.0401153 | 107.8510841 | 100.6620525 |  |
| 9 Construct (25) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 117.0006002 | 111.1634443 | 105.313405 | 99.46337265 | 93.61333337 | 87.76329426 | 81.91325481 |  |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 192.1834003 | 182.5953771 | 172.9861919 | 163.377018 | 153.7678327 | 144.1586478 | 134.5494623 |  |
| 12 Finance (31) | 22.01150004 | 20.91334706 | 19.81277032 | 18.71219487 | 17.61161812 | 16.51104141 | 15.41046463 |  |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 129.1236002 | 122.6816282 | 116.225438 | 109.7692554 | 103.3130652 | 96.85687511 | 90.40068469 |  |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

Unit: 1 million US dollars

| GOV CONSUMPTION | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 0.0003 | 0.000285031 | 0.000270031 | 0.000255031 | 0.000240031 | 0.000225031 | 0.000210031 |  |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 0.023700012 | 0.022517453 | 0.021332451 | 0.02014745 | 0.018962447 | 0.017777445 | 0.016592442 |  |
| 3 Textile (9) | 0.0006 | 0.000570062 | 0.000540062 | 0.000510062 | 0.000480062 | 0.000450062 | 0.000420062 |  |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 1.237500624 | 1.175753104 | 1.113877971 | 1.052002911 | 0.990127779 | 0.928252648 | 0.866377514 |  |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 0.027100014 | 0.025747805 | 0.024392802 | 0.023037801 | 0.021682798 | 0.020327795 | 0.018972792 |  |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 0.0005 | 0.000475052 | 0.000450052 | 0.000425052 | 0.000400052 | 0.000375052 | 0.000350052 |  |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 0.0004 | 0.000380041 | 0.000360041 | 0.000340041 | 0.000320041 | 0.000300041 | 0.000280041 |  |
| 9 Construct (25) | 0.0002 | 0.000190021 | 0.000180021 | 0.000170021 | 0.000160021 | 0.000150021 | 0.000140021 |  |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 0.0001 | $9.50104 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.00103 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.50103 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.00103 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.50103 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.00103 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 0.015300008 | 0.014536584 | 0.013771582 | 0.013006581 | 0.01224158 | 0.011476578 | 0.010711577 |  |
| 12 Finance (31) | 36.16741825 | 34.36277398 | 32.5544001 | 30.74602836 | 28.93765449 | 27.12928066 | 25.32090676 |  |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 72.38243652 | 68.77077289 | 65.15164512 | 61.53252164 | 57.91339389 | 54.29426623 | 50.67513842 |  |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

| Q (FINAL GOOD) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 331.1482817 | 314.6390184 | 298.0815775 | 281.5241575 | 264.9667172 | 248.4092774 | 231.8518358 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 3225.471163 | 3064.56827 | 2903.294432 | 2742.020784 | 2580.746947 | 2419.473115 | 2258.199275 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 1323.235078 | 1257.074983 | 1190.913112 | 1124.751321 | 1058.589451 | 992.4275827 | 926.2657147 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 248.0602201 | 235.682318 | 223.2792961 | 210.8762877 | 198.4732644 | 186.0702411 | 173.6672166 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 2318.271808 | 2202.599131 | 2086.685357 | 1970.77172 | 1854.857946 | 1738.944175 | 1623.030398 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 1169.853218 | 1111.473099 | 1052.98032 | 994.4876129 | 935.9948373 | 877.5020637 | 819.00929 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 249.9171168 | 237.4624116 | 224.9665545 | 212.4707104 | 199.9748507 | 187.4789902 | 174.9831278 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 245.7732167 | 233.5229321 | 221.2342432 | 208.9455686 | 196.6568803 | 184.3681931 | 172.0795059 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 624.001744 | 592.8098934 | 561.6097608 | 530.4096644 | 499.209531 | 468.009398 | 436.8092641 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 757.2855517 | 719.5115545 | 681.6472223 | 643.7829341 | 605.9186011 | 568.0542693 | 530.1899346 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 928.52756 | 882.2154608 | 835.7890447 | 789.362681 | 742.9362603 | 696.5098387 | 650.083412 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 258.7181591 | 245.8227022 | 232.8867821 | 219.9508769 | 207.0149562 | 194.0790354 | 181.1431133 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 416.7505077 | 395.9704079 | 375.1328526 | 354.2953231 | 333.4577679 | 312.620213 | 291.7826562 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 1620.667861 | 1539.823625 | 1458.790111 | 1377.756692 | 1296.723176 | 1215.689663 | 1134.656145 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value
Unit: 1 million US dollars

| D (DOMESTIC GOOD) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 328.4486788 | 312.0740031 | 295.6515427 | 279.2291028 | 262.8066429 | 246.3841834 | 229.9617222 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 2980.947584 | 2832.24277 | 2683.195132 | 2534.147669 | 2385.100032 | 2236.0524 | 2087.004761 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 79.03950818 | 75.08763191 | 71.13564951 | 67.18367185 | 63.23168953 | 59.27970726 | 55.32772504 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 135.0084323 | 128.2716764 | 121.5212488 | 114.7708286 | 108.0204003 | 101.269972 | 94.51954304 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 944.7058043 | 897.5686874 | 850.3333223 | 803.0980132 | 755.8626479 | 708.627284 | 661.3919179 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 168.3739515 | 159.9714517 | 151.5527372 | 143.134033 | 134.7153189 | 126.2966051 | 117.8778913 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 172.7485463 | 164.1395632 | 155.5021351 | 146.8647159 | 138.2272859 | 129.5898554 | 120.9524235 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 240.0595871 | 228.0940919 | 216.0910851 | 204.0880923 | 192.0850861 | 180.082081 | 168.0790759 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 607.7745657 | 577.3938598 | 547.0050873 | 516.61635 | 486.2275768 | 455.8388039 | 425.4500301 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 727.5738174 | 691.2818648 | 654.9031214 | 618.5244204 | 582.1456763 | 545.7669333 | 509.3881876 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 798.5693903 | 758.739205 | 718.8107027 | 678.8822455 | 638.9537393 | 599.0252323 | 559.0967208 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 255.4039773 | 242.6737113 | 229.9035004 | 217.1333043 | 204.3630928 | 191.5928813 | 178.8226684 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 366.012193 | 347.7620175 | 329.4613816 | 311.1607683 | 292.8601325 | 274.5594969 | 256.2588597 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

| M (IMPORTS) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | 30\% decrease |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 2.442499843 | 2.320730003 | 2.198604814 | 2.076479778 | 1.954354592 | 1.83222941 | 1.710104216 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 227.0126309 | 215.6880872 | 204.3374359 | 192.986798 | 181.6361469 | 170.2854961 | 158.9348447 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 1229.811852 | 1168.322802 | 1106.832101 | 1045.341473 | 983.850773 | 922.3600735 | 860.8693749 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 111.7445982 | 106.1686792 | 100.5814444 | 94.99421562 | 89.40698019 | 83.81974475 | 78.23250875 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 1208.881804 | 1148.56334 | 1088.119154 | 1027.67504 | 967.2308537 | 906.7866692 | 846.3424818 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 910.3587709 | 864.9284103 | 819.4103799 | 773.8924057 | 728.3743778 | 682.8563516 | 637.3383253 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 69.81875041 | 66.33930902 | 62.84837117 | 59.35743693 | 55.86649833 | 52.37555952 | 48.88462016 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 5.647730257 | 5.366225609 | 5.083838452 | 4.801451622 | 4.519064479 | 4.23667736 | 3.954290241 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 16.03948057 | 15.23771826 | 14.43574306 | 13.63376879 | 12.83179358 | 12.02981837 | 11.22784314 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 29.36803608 | 27.90313541 | 26.43473149 | 24.96632927 | 23.49792532 | 22.02952141 | 20.56111739 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 128.4281816 | 122.0225788 | 115.6011645 | 109.1797574 | 102.7583424 | 96.33692731 | 89.91551149 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 3.275683023 | 3.112411031 | 2.948626725 | 2.784842609 | 2.621058297 | 2.457273983 | 2.293489652 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 50.15161669 | 47.65094643 | 45.143362 | 42.63578066 | 40.12819625 | 37.62061186 | 35.11302725 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 13.44158558 | 12.77107514 | 12.09899486 | 11.42691536 | 10.75483507 | 10.08275479 | 9.410674475 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

| E (EXPORTS) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 136.8616 | 130.0384204 | 123.1953293 | 116.3522469 | 109.509156 | 102.6660654 | 95.822974 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 171.0409 | 162.5085121 | 153.9564535 | 145.4044051 | 136.8523465 | 128.3002882 | 119.7482294 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 30.6618 | 29.12874876 | 27.59565634 | 26.06256574 | 24.52947332 | 22.99638089 | 21.46328847 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 2138.1631 | 2031.471298 | 1924.562978 | 1817.654779 | 1710.746455 | 1603.838136 | 1496.92981 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 213.3001 | 202.657261 | 191.9922391 | 181.3272299 | 170.6622079 | 159.9971863 | 149.3321642 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | $1.6837 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.59971 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.51552 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.43134 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.34715 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.26296 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.17878 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 127.8576 | 121.4857629 | 115.092873 | 108.6999903 | 102.3071002 | 95.91421029 | 89.52132007 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | $2.40058 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.28093 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.1609 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.04087 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.92084 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.80081 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.68078 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 9 Construct (25) | $6.0775 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.77395 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.47006 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.16617 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.86228 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.55839 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.25451 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 279.726 | 265.7730459 | 251.7867239 | 237.8004182 | 223.814096 | 209.8277743 | 195.8414517 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 360.7626 | 342.7688566 | 324.7306981 | 306.6925607 | 288.6544021 | 270.616244 | 252.5780849 |
| 12 Finance (31) | $2.55405 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.42675 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.29905 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.17134 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.04364 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.91594 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.78824 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 12.3656 | 11.74902379 | 11.13074279 | 10.51246256 | 9.894181578 | 9.27590061 | 8.657619592 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 80.1962 | 76.19574976 | 72.1859334 | 68.17612178 | 64.16630539 | 60.15648913 | 56.14667269 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

Unit: 1 million US dollars

| Y (COMPOSITE GOOD) | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 328.1034 | 311.745938 | 295.3407414 | 278.9355655 | 262.5303695 | 246.1251739 | 229.7199767 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 1344.7907 | 1277.705717 | 1210.466075 | 1143.226513 | 1075.986871 | 1008.747232 | 941.5075878 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 29.506801 | 28.03149825 | 26.55615587 | 25.08081525 | 23.60547286 | 22.13013048 | 20.65478809 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 716.364601 | 680.6188573 | 644.8005721 | 608.982327 | 573.1640406 | 537.3457554 | 501.5274682 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 95.230901 | 90.4792523 | 85.7176997 | 80.95615274 | 76.19460013 | 71.43304766 | 66.67149495 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 49.581701 | 47.10738735 | 44.62829832 | 42.14921229 | 39.67012328 | 37.1910343 | 34.71194524 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 10.622001 | 10.09264913 | 9.561548255 | 9.030447978 | 8.499347088 | 7.968246217 | 7.437145319 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 22.482401 | 21.36179255 | 20.23767075 | 19.11355021 | 17.98942837 | 16.86530656 | 15.7411847 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 298.595201 | 283.6693836 | 268.7396001 | 253.809834 | 238.8800503 | 223.9502669 | 209.0204831 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 640.850701 | 608.8845612 | 576.8419757 | 544.7994276 | 512.7568417 | 480.714257 | 448.6716701 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 305.420301 | 290.1868634 | 274.9158243 | 259.644803 | 244.3737637 | 229.1027249 | 213.8316852 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 55.503601 | 52.73709758 | 49.96191299 | 47.18673172 | 44.41154724 | 41.63636287 | 38.86117834 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

| CAPITAL INCOME | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 2.3578 | 2.240252837 | 2.122362646 | 2.004472603 | 1.886582416 | 1.768692233 | 1.650802037 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 275.4474 | 261.7066861 | 247.9342943 | 234.1619187 | 220.3895269 | 206.6171354 | 192.8447432 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 17.914 | 17.01832265 | 16.12262123 | 15.22692088 | 14.33121947 | 13.43551805 | 12.53981663 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 434.9156 | 413.2138276 | 391.4680141 | 369.7222249 | 347.9764107 | 326.2305972 | 304.4847826 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 50.6337 | 48.10727683 | 45.57558782 | 43.0439018 | 40.51221278 | 37.98052383 | 35.44883476 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 31.5522 | 29.97762637 | 28.40001383 | 26.82240321 | 25.24479069 | 23.66717819 | 22.08956563 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 5.5805 | 5.302393442 | 5.023368011 | 4.744342892 | 4.465317451 | 4.186292019 | 3.907266573 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 15.9832 | 15.18653647 | 14.38737523 | 13.58821487 | 12.7890536 | 11.98989236 | 11.19073107 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 158.588 | 150.660694 | 142.7312815 | 134.8018783 | 126.8724658 | 118.9430534 | 111.0136408 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 322.0528 | 305.9885516 | 289.8858863 | 273.7832397 | 257.6805742 | 241.5779092 | 225.4752432 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 254.0651 | 241.393104 | 228.6898289 | 215.9865688 | 203.2832936 | 190.5800188 | 177.8767433 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 45.5535 | 43.28294618 | 41.00526745 | 38.72759145 | 36.44991282 | 34.17223426 | 31.89455559 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

| Unit: 1 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SKILLED LABOR INCOME | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 0.9756 | 0.926961857 | 0.878181779 | 0.829401761 | 0.780621684 | 0.731841608 | 0.683061527 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 13.2299 | 12.56992546 | 11.90842942 | 11.24693415 | 10.5854381 | 9.923942067 | 9.262445997 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 1.5685 | 1.490076983 | 1.411651859 | 1.333226828 | 1.254801704 | 1.17637658 | 1.097951456 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 36.0301 | 34.23224076 | 32.43073298 | 30.62922723 | 28.82771939 | 27.02621162 | 25.22470374 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 7.2882 | 6.924547386 | 6.560136809 | 6.195726663 | 5.831316084 | 5.466905516 | 5.10249493 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 3.4073 | 3.237262895 | 3.066897622 | 2.896532554 | 2.726167282 | 2.555802012 | 2.385436736 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 0.5835 | 0.554421033 | 0.525245988 | 0.496070975 | 0.466895929 | 0.437720884 | 0.408545837 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 2.1378 | 2.03124391 | 1.924353744 | 1.817463697 | 1.710573526 | 1.60368336 | 1.496793188 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 21.2584 | 20.19576069 | 19.13283903 | 18.0699186 | 17.00699692 | 15.94407525 | 14.88115356 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 55.3625 | 52.60097471 | 49.83284535 | 47.06471923 | 44.29658984 | 41.52846055 | 38.76033107 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 10.4399 | 9.919189477 | 9.397193653 | 8.875198441 | 8.353202611 | 7.831206798 | 7.309210956 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 4.3418 | 4.125388734 | 3.908298379 | 3.691208284 | 3.474117937 | 3.257027599 | 3.039937249 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

| Unit: 1 millior |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| unskilled LAbor income | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 307.5916 | 292.2567455 | 276.8771406 | 261.497555 | 246.1179506 | 230.7383467 | 215.3587412 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 852.9247 | 810.3764884 | 767.7301859 | 725.0839335 | 682.4376309 | 639.7913295 | 597.1450255 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 10.0243 | 9.523097673 | 9.02188188 | 8.520666685 | 8.019450892 | 7.518235099 | 7.017019307 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 245.4189 | 233.1727881 | 220.9018242 | 208.630874 | 196.3599097 | 184.0889458 | 171.8179812 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 37.309 | 35.44742713 | 33.58197418 | 31.71652343 | 29.85107047 | 27.98561756 | 26.12016456 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 14.6222 | 13.89249714 | 13.16138597 | 12.43027568 | 11.69916451 | 10.96805335 | 10.23694217 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 4.458 | 4.2358337 | 4.012933356 | 3.790033261 | 3.567132908 | 3.344232564 | 3.121332208 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 4.3614 | 4.144011222 | 3.925940882 | 3.707870786 | 3.489800439 | 3.271730099 | 3.053659748 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 118.7488 | 112.8129279 | 106.8754786 | 100.9380362 | 95.00058684 | 89.06313754 | 83.1256881 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 263.4354 | 250.2950339 | 237.1232432 | 223.9514678 | 210.7796769 | 197.6078865 | 184.4360952 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 40.9153 | 38.87456903 | 36.8288008 | 34.78303497 | 32.73726672 | 30.69149853 | 28.64573023 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 5.6083 | 5.328761721 | 5.048346261 | 4.767931138 | 4.487515691 | 4.207100254 | 3.926684802 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value
Unit: 1 million US dollars

| LAND INCOME | Benchmark | 5\% decrease | 10\% decrease | 15\% decrease | 20\% decrease | 25\% decrease | 30\% decrease |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 17.1784 | 16.32197783 | 15.46305644 | 14.60413613 | 13.74521477 | 12.88629343 | 12.02737201 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 203.1887 | 193.0526167 | 182.8931656 | 172.7337265 | 162.5742754 | 152.4148246 | 142.2553731 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9 (Continued): The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) in Value

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| THE AMOUNT OF TAXES | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| INCOME TAX | 125.826305 | 119.548835 | 113.2575098 | 106.966192 | 100.6748668 | 94.38354173 | 88.09221633 |
| PRODUCTION TAX | 106.665 | 101.3430856 | 96.00982716 | 90.67657498 | 85.34331653 | 80.01005823 | 74.67679966 |
| TARIFF | 299.5232647 | 284.5767388 | 269.6005502 | 254.6243796 | 239.6481912 | 224.6720032 | 209.6958147 |

Unit: 1 million US dollars

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: 1 million US dollars |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| THE AMOUNT OF SAVINGS | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| PRIVATE | 587 | 557.7145901 | 528.3645438 | 499.0145319 | 469.6644854 | 440.3144397 | 410.9643924 |
| GOVERNMENT | 41.8226224 | 39.73580063 | 37.64466608 | 35.553534 | 33.46239945 | 31.37126497 | 29.28013039 |
| FOREIGN | 455.4877076 | 432.6187575 | 409.8443129 | 387.0699043 | 364.2954655 | 341.5210249 | 318.7465895 |

Unit: 1 million US dollars

| equivalent variation | Benchmark | $5 \%$ decrease | $10 \%$ decrease | $15 \%$ decrease | $20 \%$ decrease | $25 \%$ decrease | $30 \%$ decrease |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EV |  | -139.248233 | -283.037281 | -431.30678 | -584.312198 | -742.330317 | -905.662585 |

Table 10-1: The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) on Q (final consumption good)

|  | Benchmark |  | 5\% decrease |  | 10\% decrease |  | 15\% decrease |  | 20\% decrease |  | 25\% decrease |  | 30\% decrease |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE | QUANTITY | PRICE |
| 1 Paddy (1) | 452.8323902 | 0.731282233 | 446.1174371 | 0.705282942 | 438.8664931 | 0.679207873 | 431.0365296 | 0.653132944 | 422.5552912 | 0.627058098 | 413.3380149 | 0.60098338 | 403.2845469 | 0.574908802 |
| 2 Other Agri (2-8) | 2873.783279 | 1.122378012 | 2796.877135 | 1.095710724 | 2715.943332 | 1.068981962 | 2630.858498 | 1.042253236 | 2541.294659 | 1.015524484 | 2446.888701 | 0.988795736 | 2347.237032 | 0.962066994 |
| 3 Textile (9) | 132.4085832 | 9.99357478 | 126.5042654 | 9.937016585 | 120.5321829 | 9.880457514 | 114.4913411 | 9.823898559 | 108.3805313 | 9.767339564 | 102.1985381 | 9.710780617 | 95.9441104 | 9.654221721 |
| 4 Apparel (10) | 2819.142447 | 0.087991375 | 2694.185106 | 0.087478146 | 2567.276546 | 0.086971268 | 2438.659014 | 0.086472232 | 2308.325874 | 0.085981475 | 2176.275194 | 0.085499408 | 2042.508041 | 0.085026454 |
| 5 Manufact (11-17) | 1202.253903 | 1.928271392 | 1144.537423 | 1.924444835 | 1086.469931 | 1.920610316 | 1028.170181 | 1.916775799 | 969.6366357 | 1.912941279 | 910.8679579 | 1.909106759 | 851.8627232 | 1.905272239 |
| 6 Equipmt (18-21) | 208.8935322 | 5.600236665 | 199.6571647 | 5.566908157 | 190.2913821 | 5.533515539 | 180.8118874 | 5.500122957 | 171.2165736 | 5.46673034 | 161.5033168 | 5.433337724 | 151.6699289 | 5.399945104 |
| 7 OtherManuf (22) | 306.372254 | 0.815730255 | 291.3379519 | 0.815075448 | 276.2296724 | 0.814418497 | 261.0970141 | 0.813761548 | 245.939884 | 0.813104599 | 230.7582407 | 0.81244765 | 215.5520236 | 0.811790699 |
| 8 Utility (23-24) | 257.3980022 | 0.954837313 | 244.8760324 | 0.953637356 | 232.283101 | 0.952433656 | 219.6583139 | 0.951229958 | 207.0015212 | 0.950026257 | 194.3126161 | 0.948822557 | 181.5914752 | 0.947618855 |
| 9 Construct (25) | 824.1601616 | 0.757136505 | 795.2809152 | 0.74540943 | 765.4704145 | 0.733679252 | 734.6912324 | 0.721949087 | 702.8952979 | 0.710218908 | 670.0314232 | 0.69848873 | 636.0448845 | 0.686758552 |
| 10 TrdHotel (26) | 1455.199273 | 0.520399897 | 1416.425086 | 0.507977133 | 1375.606913 | 0.495524714 | 1332.684273 | 0.483072358 | 1287.490016 | 0.470620039 | 1239.838988 | 0.458167774 | 1189.525278 | 0.445715568 |
| 11 Service (27-30) | 1495.802462 | 0.62075547 | 1424.542449 | 0.619297418 | 1352.768951 | 0.617835769 | 1280.655132 | 0.616374121 | 1208.198392 | 0.614912473 | 1135.39637 | 0.613450824 | 1062.246583 | 0.611989177 |
| 12 Finance (31) | 310.4785515 | 0.833288348 | 295.6053606 | 0.831590813 | 280.6243645 | 0.829887962 | 265.5817802 | 0.828185114 | 250.4771917 | 0.826482263 | 235.3102326 | 0.824779413 | 220.0805148 | 0.823076561 |
| 13 RealEstBus (32) | 400.5903449 | 1.040340869 | 384.3922906 | 1.030120576 | 367.8234427 | 1.019872061 | 350.9182405 | 1.009623559 | 333.6662945 | 0.999375045 | 316.056847 | 0.989126532 | 298.0786684 | 0.978878018 |
| 14 OtherServ (33-35) | 2290.087223 | 0.707688269 | 2201.66783 | 0.699389619 | 2110.910395 | 0.691071546 | 2017.941652 | 0.682753483 | 1922.679554 | 0.674435411 | 1825.038304 | 0.666117341 | 1724.927643 | 0.65779927 |

Table 10-2: The Effect of a Decrease in Imports of Textiles (Raw Materials) on Q (final consumption good) in the Relative Changes

Unit: \%


Table 11-1: The Effect of a Decrease in the Production Tax Rate for Apparel Products on Utility Measured by Equivalent Variation

Unit: 1 million US dollars
decreasing rate of the production tax from the actual rate (actual rate)
$0 \%(1.02 \%) \quad 5 \%(0.97 \%) \quad 10 \%(0.92 \%) \quad 15 \%(0.87 \%) \quad 20 \%$ ( $0.82 \%$ ) $25 \%$ ( $0.77 \%$ ) $\quad 30 \% ~(0.71 \%)$

|  | 0\% (2138.16) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5\% (2031.25) | -7.52215 | -1.4369138 | 4.67538742 | 10.8149384 | 16.9819438 | 23.1765729 |
|  | 10\% (1924.34) | -21.06165 | -14.973386 | -8.8579634 | -2.7152056 | 3.45509677 | 9.65310212 |
|  | 15\% (1817.43) | -35.25595 | -29.164668 | -23.046159 | -16.900203 | -10.726636 | -4.5252599 |
|  | 20\% (1710.52) | -50.15379 | -44.059539 | -37.937969 | -31.788857 | -25.612027 | -19.407309 |
|  | 25\% (1603.62) | -65.80884 | -59.711662 | -53.587074 | -47.434846 | -41.254815 | -35.046782 |
|  | 30\% (1496.71) | -82.28034 | -76.180326 | -70.052787 | -63.897519 | -57.714344 | -51.503048 |

Note: The unit of the actual economic value of exports of apparel products is 1 million US dollars

Table 11-2: The Effect of a Decrease in the Tariff Rate for Textiles on Utility Measured by Equivalent Variation

Unit: 1 million US dollars
decreasing rate of the tariff from the actual rate (actual rate)
$0 \%(1.17 \%) \quad 5 \%(1.11 \%) \quad 10 \%(1.05 \%) \quad 15 \%(0.99 \%) \quad 20 \%(0.93 \%) \quad 25 \%(0.87 \%) \quad 30 \%(0.81 \%)$

|  | 0\% (12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 䒾 | 5\% (1168.32) |
| \% | 10\% (1106.82) |
| $\pm$ | 15\% (1045.33) |
| \% | 20\% (983.84) |
| $\stackrel{\square}{3}$ | 25\% (922.35) |
|  | 30\% (860.86) |


| -15.3258 | -11.6685 | -7.99856 | -4.31582 | 0.620233 | 33.2292 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -34.8489 | -31.1905 | -27.5194 | -23.8355 | -20.1386 | -16.4289 |
| -55.7345 | -52.0752 | -48.403 | -44.718 | -41.0201 | -37.3092 |
| -78.1307 | -74.4705 | -70.7975 | -67.1116 | -63.4128 | -59.7009 |
| -102.208 | -98.5467 | -94.8731 | -91.1865 | -87.4868 | -83.7741 |
| -128.162 | -124.501 | -120.826 | -117.139 | -113.439 | -109.726 |

Note: The unit of the actual economic value of imports of textiles is 1 million US dollars

Table 12: Production Tax Rates to maintain Welfare When the Economic Value of Exports of Apparel Products Decreases


Note: The unit of the actual economic value of exports of apparel products is 1 million US dollars
Note: The unit of the shortage of tax revenue is 1 million US dollars
Note: The minus tax rate implies the subsidy rate
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    ${ }^{5}$ See IMF (2006).
    ${ }^{6}$ Appart from the Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA) and restrictions on Chinese exports to the US and EU, there are several factors which have lead the Cambodian garment industry to being successful; the enough amount of cheap labor supply, and its compliance with international standards of working environments. FIAS (2005) pointed out that buyers in the US and EU considerred working environments of employees at their purchase as one of the most important reasons, and also that Cambodia was ranked above Vietnam and China in this aspect.
    ${ }^{7}$ See ILO-Better Factories Cambodia (2008), http://www.betterfactories.og.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ In terms of the conventional model, see Shoven and Whalley (1992) or Hosoe et. al. (2004).

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ This conventional model depends on Hosoe et. al.(2004).
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